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## Highlights

- amino-3,5-dicyanopyridines were developed as novel adenosine $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}$ receptor agonists
- some compounds showed nanomolar potency and partial or full agonism at the $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$
- molecular modelling studies were made to simulate the binding mode at the $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$


#### Abstract

A new series of amino-3,5-dicyanopyridines (3-28) as analogues of the adenosine $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}$ receptor agonist BAY60-6583 (compound 1) was synthesized. All the compounds that interact with the $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}$ adenosine receptor display $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ values in the range $9-350 \mathrm{nM}$ behaving as partial agonists, with the only exception being the 2-\{[4-(4-acetamidophenyl)-6-amino-3,5-dicyanopyridin-2yl]thio\}acetamide (8) which shows a full agonist profile. Moreover, the 2-[(1H-imidazol-2-yl)methylthio)]-6-amino-4-(4-cyclopropylmethoxy-phenyl)pyridine-3,5-dicarbonitrile (15) turns out to be 3-fold more active than 1 although less selective. This result can be considered a real breakthrough due to the currently limited number of non-adenosine $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ agonists reported in literature. To simulate the binding mode of nucleoside and non-nucleoside agonists at the $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$, molecular docking studies were performed at homology models of this AR subtype developed by using two crystal structures of agonist-bound $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{AR}$ as templates. These investigations allowed us to represent a hypothetical binding mode of $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}$ receptor agonists belonging to the amino-3,5dicyanopyridine series and to rationalize the observed SAR.
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## ABBREVIATIONS

ABMECA, $N^{6}$-(4-aminobenzyl)- $N$-methylcarboxamidoadenosine; Ado, Adenosine; AR, adenosine receptor; CHO, Chinese hamster ovary; DPCPX, 8-cyclopentyl-1,3-dipropylxanthine; HEPES, 4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazineethanesulfonic acid; NECA, 5'-( $N$-ethylcarboxamido)adenosine; EL, extracellular loop; MOE, molecular operating environment; RMS, root-mean-square; TM, transmembrane.

## Introduction

Adenosine (Ado) is an endogenous purine nucleoside that normally increases under pathological or stressful situations producing its effects through activation of G protein-coupled adenosine receptors (ARs). These latter, classified as $\mathrm{A}_{1}, \mathrm{~A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}, \mathrm{~A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}$, and $\mathrm{A}_{3}$, are typically coupled to adenylate cyclase but other second messenger systems have also been described [1,2]. Over the years, many ligands, agonists and antagonists, have been identified for the $\mathrm{A}_{1}, \mathrm{~A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$, and $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ ARs that, in turn, have been extensively characterized [3]. In contrast, the $A_{2 B} A R$ subtype is the least known. In fact, while a large number of selective $A_{2 B} A R$ antagonists belonging to different chemical classes has been developed [4-10], only a few $A_{2 B}$ AR agonists are known so far [11]. As antagonists are characterized by a large structural variability, the agonist profile has been long associated to an Ado-like structure. Starting from the $5^{\prime}$-( $N$-ethylcarboxamido)adenosine (NECA), the first Adoderived nucleosidic human (h) $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}$ AR agonist, a slightly more potent $\mathrm{h} \mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}$ agonist than NECA was identified [12]. Fortunately, progress has been made. In fact, the non-Ado-like 2-\{[6-Amino-3,5-dicyano-4-(4-(cyclopropylmethoxy)phenyl)pyridin-2-yl]thio\}acetamide (BAY60-6583, compound 1), a 2-aminopyridine-3,5-dicarbonitrile derivative (Chart 1) discovered by Bayer Healthcare $[13,14]$, is the only available potent and selective $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ agonist reported so far. Its identification has invalidated the conviction that the sugar moiety is essential for agonism at ARs, such that nonnucleoside ligands must therefore behave as antagonists. Thus, compound 1 has been used extensively as a research tool to clarify the pharmacological roles of $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}$ AR [15-28] sometimes leading to contradictory results [29]. Thus, it could be a very important goal to obtain other potent and selective $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}$ AR agonists especially considering the difficulties that have emerged in understanding of the pharmacological properties of $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ agonists and the necessity to explain some controversies concerning the $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ [29]. In particular, the amino-3,5-dicyanopyridine series, to which compound $\mathbf{1}$ belongs, has been demonstrated to include partial agonists with a variable maximum agonist effect at the $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}$ AR subtype [30]. More recently, two different papers reported
the partial agonist profile of $\mathbf{1}[27,31]$ and also its potential $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ biased agonism was hypothesized [29,32].

Chart 1. Lead structures for the development of currently reported amino-3,5-dicyanopyridinebased AR ligands.


1 BAY60-6583

$\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$
2 LUF Series

In this scenario, our research group focused attention on the aminopyridine-3,5-dicarbonitrile series to broaden the scarcely known structure-activity relationships (SARs) of this chemical class. In fact, most of the non-Ado-like AR ligands belonging to this series are included in patent documents [12,13,21] while few data are reported in the open literature [30,33,34]. These are, however, sufficient to underline the versatility of the amino-3,5-dicyanopyridine scaffold for producing AR ligands with not only a wide range of affinities but, interestingly, with different degrees of efficacy, ranging from full to partial agonist or neutral antagonist at the different ARs. In particular, certain 2-amino-4-aryl-6-(1H-imidazol-2-yl-methylsulfanyl)-pyridine-3,5-dicarbonitriles belonging to the LUF series [30] (2, Chart 1) displayed nanomolar affinity for all the ARs, including the $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ subtype on which they showed, in general, also considerable efficacy. Moreover, this class of compounds seems to be more versatile for pharmacological studies showing less species differences than the Ado-like AR agonists [3]. It is worth noting that in addition to compound $\mathbf{1}$, that reached preclinical-phase investigation for treating angina pectoris, also other amino-3,5-dicyanopyridine derivatives discovered by Bayer Healthcare have attracted attention for their potential in heart diseases [32, 34].

Thus, taking compound $\mathbf{1}$ as lead, modifications on the amino-3,5-dicyanopyridine core were performed at both $R^{1}$ and $R^{2}$ positions (compounds 3-28, Chart 2).

Chart 2. Modification performed at $\mathrm{R}^{1}$ and $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ positions of the 2-amino-4-aryl-6-sulfanyl-3,5dicyanopyridine scaffold.


## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

## Chemistry

The synthetic pathways which yielded compounds $\mathbf{1 , 3 - 2 8}, 52$ and the relative intermediates are illustrated in Schemes 1-3. The amino-3,5-dicyanopyridine derivatives 1, 3-28 [13,21] (Scheme 1) were obtained starting from aldehydes 29-35, all commercially available with the exception of the 4-(cyclobuthylmethoxy)benzaldehyde 29 [35] which was obtained by reacting 4hydroxybenzaldehyde with (bromomethyl)cyclobutane in refluxing acetone and in the presence of potassium carbonate. By one-pot cyclization of the suitable aldehyde 29-33, 35 with malononitrile and thiophenol, the sulfanylphenyl intermediates 37-41, 43 were obtained. Different cyclization alkaline adjuvants able to work in a phase-transfer system were used, the best being DBU [36]. Moderate to good yields were obtained. Differently, the para-acetamido-benzaldehyde $\mathbf{3 4}$ was reacted with malononitrile in a straightforward Knoevenagel condensation in the presence of a few
drops of piperidine as catalyst to give the intermediate $\mathbf{3 6}$ [37]. The latter was reacted with malononitrile in a cyclization reaction involving thiophenol and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ to afford 42 [37].

## Scheme 1






| $\mathbf{R}^{1}$ | compound | $\mathbf{R}^{1}$ | compound |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc$ | 3, 29, 37, 44 | O- | 7, 33, 41, 48 |
| $\bigcirc$ | 4, 30, 38, 45 | $\mathrm{NHCOCH}_{3}$ | 8, 34, 36, 42, 49 |
| $\bigcirc$ | 5, 25-27, 31, 39, 46 | $\bigcirc \bigcirc$ | 1, 9-24, 35, 43, 50 |
| - | 6, 28, 32, 40, 47 |  |  |

Reagents and conditions. a) To yield compound 29: $\mathrm{BrCH}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{7}$, acetone, anhydrous $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, reflux ( $67 \%$ ); compounds $\mathbf{3 0 - 3 5}$ are commercially available; b) malononitrile, thiophenol, DBU, $10 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{EtOH}, 55{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ( $18-37 \%$ ); c) malononitrile, piperidine, $\mathrm{EtOH}, 80{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(63 \%)$; d) malononitrile, thiophenol, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$, EtOH , reflux ( $44 \%$ ); e) $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$, anhydrous $\mathrm{DMF}, 80^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; 1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{rt}$ (72-86\%); f) $\mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{X}(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{Br}), \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, anhydrous DMF, rt (19-80\%).

To obtain the free thiols (compounds 44-50 [13,37,38]), the corresponding 6-phenylsulfanyl derivatives $\mathbf{3 7 - 4 3}$ [37] were treated with sodium sulfide in DMF at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ followed by 1 M HCl . The final compounds 3-28 were obtained by reaction of the 6 -thiol-derivatives $\mathbf{4 4 - 5 0}$ with the suitable halides in the presence of sodium hydrogencarbonate. These latter were all commercially available with the exception of the 2-chloro-N-hydroxyacetamide 51 [39] which was synthesized from ethyl 2-chloroacetate with $50 \%$ aqueous solution of hydroxylamine as reported in Scheme 2.

## Scheme 2



Reagents and conditions. a) $50 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$, $\mathrm{rt}(53 \%)$.

Moreover, the $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}$ AR agonist $\mathbf{1}[13,21]$ was cyclized in absolute ethanolic potassium hydroxide to yield the bicyclic compound 52 (Scheme 3 ). The forced alkaline conditions produced the condensation of the 3-cyano substituent with the active methylene group on the sulfanylacetamide chain [40].

## Scheme 3



Reagents and conditions. a) KOH , absolute EtOH , reflux (85\%).

## Pharmacological Assays

The newly synthesized derivatives $\mathbf{3 - 2 8}, \mathbf{5 2}$ and the reference compound $\mathbf{1}$ were studied as $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ agonists by evaluating their stimulatory effect on cAMP production in Chinese Hamster Ovary (CHO) cells, stably expressing the $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$. Some selected compounds (3, 12, 17, 18, 21-23, 28) were evaluated also in cAMP production in $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{CHO}$ cells as $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonists at $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ concentration in the presence of NECA 100 nM . Moreover, their affinities at $\mathrm{hA}_{1}, \mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$, and $\mathrm{hA}_{3}$ ARs, stably transfected in CHO cells, were measured. All pharmacological data are presented in Table 1.

Table 1. Binding Affinity $\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ at $\mathrm{hA}_{1}, \mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ and $\mathrm{hA}_{3}$ ARs and potencies $\left(\mathrm{EC}_{50}\right)$ at $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}$ ARs.


3-28


52

$\mathbf{7}$

| 28 |  |  | - | 7\% | $44 \pm 4$ | 8\% | 13\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 52 | - | - | - | 1\% | 19\% | 3\% | 13\% |
| $1^{\text {g,h }}$ | ${ }^{\circ}{ }^{\sim}$ | $\mathrm{CONH}_{2}$ | $31 \pm 3$ | 100\% | 31\% | 2\% | 8\% |

${ }^{a} \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{i}}$ values are means $\pm$ SEM of four separate assays each performed in triplicate. Percentage of inhibition ( $\mathrm{I} \%$ ) is determined at $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ concentration of the tested compounds.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{EC}_{50}$ values are means $\pm$ SEM of four separate assays each performed in triplicate.
${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Efficacy of the tested compound at $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ concentration, in comparison with NECA $(1 \mu \mathrm{M}=100 \%)$.
${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ Displacement of specific $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ DPCPX competition binding to $\mathrm{hA}_{1} \mathrm{CHO}$ cells.
${ }^{e}$ Displacement of specific $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right] \mathrm{ZM} 241385$ competition binding to $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{CHO}$ cells.
${ }^{\mathrm{f}}$ Displacement of specific $\left[{ }^{125} \mathrm{I}\right] \mathrm{AB}-\mathrm{MECA}$ competition binding to $\mathrm{hA}_{3} \mathrm{CHO}$ cells.
${ }^{\mathrm{g}}$ Reference [13].
${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ Reference [21].

## Structure-activity relationships

The pharmacological data for the newly synthesized amino-3,5-dicyanopyridine derivatives 3-28 are reported in Table 1 together with those of the reference compound $\mathbf{1}[13,21]$. Most of the compounds have generally low to null $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ and $\mathrm{hA}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ affinity while the binding at the $\mathrm{h} \mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{AR}$ subtype depends strictly on both $\mathrm{R}^{1}$ and $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ substituents. All the derivatives that interact with the $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}(\mathbf{5}, \mathbf{8}-\mathbf{1 0}, \mathbf{1 3 - 1 6}, \mathbf{1 9}, \mathbf{2 0}, \mathbf{2 5}-27)$ display $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ values from 9.5 to 347 nM behaving as partial agonists, with the only exception being compound $\mathbf{8}$ [13] which shows a full agonist profile. Moreover, derivative $\mathbf{1 5}$ that merges the typical $\mathrm{R}^{1}$ and $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ substituents of compound $\mathbf{1}$ and series $\mathbf{2}$, respectively (Chart 1), turns out to be the most potent $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}$ receptor agonist among this series $\left(\mathrm{EC}_{50}\right.$ $=9.5 \mathrm{nM})$ and is also endowed with good selectivity versus the other ARs (25-fold vs hA $\mathrm{he}_{1}$ AR, 80fold vs $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{AR}$, and 50 -fold vs $\left.\mathrm{hA}_{3} \mathrm{AR}\right)$. Some compounds (3, 12, 17, 18, 21-23 and 28), selected among those that had no activity at the $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$, were evaluated also as $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonists revealing the inability to inhibit NECA-stimulated cAMP levels.

First, to explore how slight modifications of the para-cyclopropylmethyloxy group of the lead compound 1 could influence $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ activity and selectivity, 2-sulfanylacetamido-derivatives 3-7 bearing different cycloalkyl- or cycloalkenyl-methyloxy substituents at $\mathrm{R}^{1}$ position were synthesized. These compounds totally lose $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ activity except for the para-ethoxy-substituted
derivative 5 which is as potent as 1. In contrast, the 4-(para-acetamidophenyl)-substituted derivative $\mathbf{8}$ is endowed with good activity at the $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ despite a low selectivity versus $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ and $\mathrm{hA}_{3}$ AR subtypes. The latter is the only amino-3,5-dicyanopyridine in the whole series having a full agonist profile at the $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$. The para-acetamido substituent, in addition to possessing an oxygen atom as H-bond acceptor, also contains the NH donor group that could have some influence in determining the pharmacological profile of this derivative as observed for series 2 [51,52]. When position $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ was modified by introducing carboxamido bioisosteres (compounds $\mathbf{9 - 1 4}$ ), best results were obtained in terms of $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}$ AR activity and selectivity. In fact, the N -methylacetamido compound 9 and its analogous N -hydroxymethylacetamido 10 are active at the $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$, compound 9 also being the most selective within the herein reported series. In contrast, the hydroxamic acid derivative $\mathbf{1 1}$ loses its potency, probably due to the acidity of its $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ residue which is scarcely tolerated by the $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}$ AR. Aryl homologation of the lead $\mathbf{1}$ yielded compound $\mathbf{1 3}$ which is highly potent at the $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ subtype thus confirming how both H -bond acceptor and donor functions at this position are essential for $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}$ AR-ligand interaction. Also, the ameliorative $\pi$-stacking contribution of the phenyl moiety at $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ cannot be ignored leading to a great increase of binding affinity at the $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ subtype.

Starting from the imidazole idea (see series 2, Chart 1), compound 15 was prepared and it emerged as a very potent $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ agonist being 3-fold more active than the reference agonist $\mathbf{1}$. Moreover, it shows good selectivity versus the other AR subtypes and a partial agonist profile. Thus, the imidazolyl moiety at $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ was replaced with diverse H -bond donor/acceptor-groups containing heterocycles to yield the subset of compounds $\mathbf{1 6 - 2 4}$. All derivatives that interact with $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ behave as partial agonist as $\mathbf{1 5}$. First, compound $\mathbf{1 6}$ bearing an imidazol-5-yl moiety at $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ was produced, resulting less active than its isomer $\mathbf{1 5}$ at the $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$, but also at the other ARs. Compound 19, bearing a 3-pyrazolyl group, shows a good $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ affinity that is comparable with that of the 1,2,4-triazol-5-yl derivative 20. These data, together with the inactivity of compound $\mathbf{2 3}$, confirm again the importance of the presence of H -bond acceptor/donor functions at $\mathrm{R}^{2}$. Also
tetrazolyl-substitution (compound 21) results as detrimental for $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ activity, probably due to the excessive acidity of the NH tetrazole moiety, as observed for the idroxamic acid derivative $\mathbf{1 1}$. Furthermore, selecting the best $\mathrm{R}^{1}$ and $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ substituents in terms of $h \mathrm{~A}_{2 B} A R$ activity, compounds $\mathbf{2 5}$ 27 were synthesized bearing the 4-(para-ethyloxy) group as a common feature. The potency value of compound 26 confirms the favourable effect exerted by the imidazolyl moiety on $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ interaction making this compound as potent as derivative 15. In contrast, 26 also shows high $\mathrm{hA}_{1}$ AR affinity, thus suggesting the cyclopropylmethyloxy substituent as suitable for obtaining potent $h^{2}{ }_{2 B}$ AR agonists endowed with better selectivity versus the other ARs. The same positive effect on $h \mathrm{~A}_{1} \mathrm{AR}$ binding is produced by the pyrazolyl group which increases the affinity for this subtype in both derivatives $\mathbf{2 7}$ and $\mathbf{2 8}$ with respect to the corresponding 2-sulfanylacetamido compounds $\mathbf{5}$ and 6 [13].

Finally, the bicyclic compound 52, which was originated by intramolecular cyclization of the parent compound 1, does not bind any of the ARs thus suggesting that this kind of molecular complication, which makes the structure more rigid, is detrimental for the profitable interaction with hARs and in particular with the $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}$ AR subtype.

## Molecular Modelling Studies

To simulate the binding mode of nucleoside and non-nucleoside agonists at $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$, molecular docking studies were performed on homology models of $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ developed using two crystal structures of agonist-bound $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{AR}$ [43, 44] as templates (pdb code: $2 \mathrm{YDO} ; 3.0-\AA ̊$ resolution [45] and pdb code: 3QAK; 2.7-Å resolution [46], in complex with Ado and 6-(2,2-diphenylethylamino)-9-[(2R,3R,4S,5S)-5-(ethylcarbamoyl)-3,4-dihydroxytetrahydrofuran-2-yl]-N-\{2-[3-(1-(pyridin-2-yl)piperidin-4-yl)ureido]ethyl\}-9H-purine-2-carboxamide, also named UK-432097, respectively). The obtained $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ homology models were checked using the Protein Geometry Monitor application within MOE (Molecular Operating Environment 2014.09) [47], with inspection of the structural quality of the protein models (backbone bond lengths, angles and dihedrals, Ramachandran $\varphi-\psi$ dihedral plots, and quality of side chain rotamer and non-bonded contact). The
two $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ structures were then used as target for the docking analysis of the synthesized derivatives, whose structures were optimized using RHF/AM1 semi-empirical calculations (using software package MOPAC implemented in MOE) [48]. The docking studies were performed by using the MOE docking tool and Gold and Autodock software [49-51]. The MOE software analysis was made by selecting the induced fit docking and optimization protocol (Schematically, a preliminary docking analysis provides a set of ligand conformations that are energy minimized, including in this step the side chains of the receptor residues in proximity). For each compound, the top-score docking pose at each $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ model, according to at least two out of three scoring functions, was selected for final ligand-target interaction analysis.

We decided to employ two homology models of the $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}$ AR to consider slightly different arrangements of the binding cavities and hence to better explore the conformational variability of the target pocket. Homology models of the $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}$ AR built on the same two templates were used for previously reported docking studies with non-nucleoside hAR agonists [51]; the 3QAK $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{AR}$ crystal structure was used as target for docking studies of non-nucleoside agonists of this receptor [52], as well as an X-ray structure of the same protein very similar to the 2YDO structure employed as template in this study (pdb code: 2YDV [45]).

As previously described [51], the binding cavities of the two $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ models are similar, considering both receptor residues orientation and pocket volumes. The differences are due to diverse arrangements of some residues, like a glutamate residue (Glu174, corresponding to Glu169 in $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{AR}$ ) located within extracellular loop (EL) 2 segment and making an H -bond interaction with the $N^{6}$-amino group of Ado in the 2YDO crystal structure. This residue is differently oriented in the 3QAK X-ray. For the non-nucleoside agonist binding, the role of this amino acid does not appear to be critical, according to mutagenesis studies performed at $A_{2 A} A R$ [52]. The different arrangements of this residue can slightly change the space available at the entrance of the binding cavity, but have a marginal effect on the size and chemical-physical properties of the binding site. This could explain why we observed analogous results by comparing the obtained docking
conformations at the two cavities. The Supporting Information section contains a figure showing the two $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ model pockets with the docked compound 15 .

For the sake of clarity, in this section, the position numbering of the substituents on the pyridine nucleus is defined as in compound 15. Thus, starting from the N1 position, it is assumed that the amino and sulfanyl groups occupy positions 2 and 6 , respectively. The simulated binding mode associated to the best score, in the great majority of cases presents the compounds oriented similarly to the one previously described for analogue agonists at hARs (Fig. 1A) [52, 53].


Figure 1. Docking conformation of compound $\mathbf{1 5}$ at the $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ receptor model (the 2YDO-based one). Global (A) and top (B) view are displayed. Key residues for ligand-target interaction are indicated.

In detail, the pyridine scaffold is located in the $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ cavity in correspondence to the purine moiety of Ado or UK-432097 observed from $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ AR templates. The heterocyclic core is
stabilized within the cavity by interaction with Phe173 (EL2) and Ile276 ${ }^{7.39}$. Both the 3-cyano and the 2-amino groups make a polar interaction with the amide function of Asn $254^{6.55}$. Considering the 2YDO-based $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}$ AR model, the 2-amino substituent gives a H -bond interaction with Glu174, while in the case of the 3QAK-based model such interaction is not present due to a different arrangement of the glutamate. In some cases, the N1 atom makes a polar interaction with Lys269 (EL3). The 5-cyano group on the pyridine core gets in proximity of His $280^{7.43}$ and transmembrane (TM) 2 residues. As previously suggested in analogue studies at the $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{AR}$ [52], the interaction with these amino acids could be mediated by a bridge water molecule or by a protonated state of His $280^{7.43}$ in which its polar hydrogen could be oriented toward the 3-cyano group. The 6 -sulfanyl substituent (Fig. 1B) is located at the entrance of the cavity getting close to residues of TM1, TM2, TM7 domains (Tyr10 ${ }^{1.35}$, Ser68 $8^{2.65}$, and Asn273 ${ }^{7.36}$, respectively) and EL2 segment (Leu172 and Phe173). Considering the heterocycle-containing 6 -substituents, a series of potential interactions with these residues may be observed (see compounds 15, 16, 19 and 20). Figure 1B shows the imidazol-2-yl moiety appended on the 6 -sulfanyl group of 15 . A partial $\pi$-stacking interaction is observed with $\operatorname{Tyr} 10^{1.35}$. The partial reduction of the imidazole ring of $\mathbf{1 5}$ (i.e. compound 17) leads to a fall of $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ activity, possibly due to the loss of this $\pi$-stacking interaction. Polar interactions are given by the imidazol-2-yl moiety with the polar hydrogen atom of the backbone amino group of Phe173 and with the carbonyl group of the Asn $273^{7.36}$ side chain. Replacement of the 2-imidazolyl group with a 4-imidazolyl substituent (compound 16) affords a decrease of activity, probably due to the loss of the interaction with Phe173 polar hydrogen. Other modifications of this ring through the introduction of other nitrogen-containing heterocycles (i.e. 19-21, 23) leads to a decrease or a loss of $h A_{2 B} A R$ activity. The presence in $R^{2}$ of a phenyl ring substituted with an amide or an ester function (compounds 13 and 14, respectively), still maintains activity at the $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$, confirming the importance of the presence of groups able to provide $\mathrm{H}-$ bond interaction at this level. Compounds bringing an amide function within the $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ substituent are generally endowed with activity at the $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$. This may be interpreted by considering that this
functional group mimics the combination of H -bond donor and acceptor functions of the 2imidazolyl ring and is able to provide a double polar interaction with Phe173 and Asn273 ${ }^{7.36}$ as observed for compound $\mathbf{1 5}$. On the other hand, the activity of the compounds is influenced by the structural and chemical properties of the 4 -substituent. In this docking arrangement, this group gets in proximity of Leu $86^{3.33}$, Thr89 ${ }^{3.36}, \operatorname{Trp} 247^{6.48}$, His $251^{6.52}$ and, partially, Ser279 ${ }^{7.42}$, and His $280^{7.43}$. The volume of the substituent $\left(\mathrm{R}^{1}\right)$ at the para-position of the 4 -phenyl ring appears a critical feature for activity of the compounds, with a cyclopropylmethyloxy function providing the best results at the $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}$ AR. Docking results show this group located deep in the binding cavity within a narrow hydrophobic sub-pocket that is suitable to accommodate the para-cycloalkyl group. This observation helps to interpret the decrease or loss of activity of compounds featuring a parasubstituent with higher hindrance than the cyclopropylmethyloxy one (i.e. compound 3). Also, the presence of a smaller para-ethyloxy group (compounds 5, 25-27) maintains the activity at the $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}$ AR although depending on the $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ substituents as observed in the case of the corresponding para-cyclopropylmethyloxyphenyl-substituted derivatives $\mathbf{1 , 9 , 1 5 , 1 9}$.

An alternative binding mode orients the compounds in a different way with respect to the above described docking conformations, and similarly to the one previously described in the literature at this receptor $[50,51,53]$. This binding mode is reported and described within the Supporting Information section.

## Conclusion

The present study has led to the identification of some potent $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}$ AR ligands with a partial agonist profile, several of which are also endowed with good selectivity towards the other AR subtypes. The 2-[(1H-imidazol-2-yl)methylthio)]-6-amino-4-(4-cyclopropylmethoxy-phenyl)pyridine-3,5dicarbonitrile $\mathbf{1 5}$ emerged as the most interesting compound being also 3 -fold more active than the lead 1. This result can be considered a real breakthrough due to the currently limited number of non-Ado-like $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ agonists reported in the literature. The results of the docking studies at two
$\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ homology models allowed us to interpret the interaction features of the amino-3,5dicyanopyridine derivatives at this receptor, also providing useful indications for the design of new $\mathrm{h} \mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ ligands belonging to this series.

## EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Chemistry. Analytical silica gel plates (Merck F254), preparative silica gel plates (Merck F254, 2 mm ) and silica gel 60 (Merck, 70-230 mesh) were used for analytical and preparative TLC, and for column chromatography, respectively. All melting points were determined on a Gallenkamp melting point apparatus and are uncorrected. Elemental analyses were performed with a Flash E1112 Thermofinnigan elemental analyzer for $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$ and the results were within $\pm 0.4 \%$ of the theoretical values. All final compounds revealed purity not less than $95 \%$. The IR spectra were recorded with a Perkin-Elmer Spectrum RX I spectrometer in Nujol mulls and are expressed in $\mathrm{cm}^{-}$ ${ }^{1}$. NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker Avance 400 spectrometer ( 400 MHz for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and 100 MHz for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR). The chemical shifts are reported in $\delta(\mathrm{ppm})$ and are relative to the central peak of the residual nondeuterated solvent, which was $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ or $\mathrm{DMSOd}_{6}$. The following abbreviations are used: $\mathrm{s}=$ singlet, $\mathrm{d}=$ doublet, $\mathrm{t}=$ triplet, $\mathrm{q}=$ quartet, $\mathrm{m}=$ multiplet, $\mathrm{br}=$ broad, Ar $=$ aromatic protons, $\mathrm{eq}=$ equatorial and $\mathrm{ax}=$ axial.

General Procedure for the Synthesis of 6-Substituted 2-Amino-4-aryl-3,5-dicyanopyridine Derivatives 1, 3-21, 23, 25-28 [13,21] and 2-Substituted 6-Amino-4-aryl-3,5-dicyanopyridines

## 22, 24.

Sodium hydrogen carbonate ( 2.0 mmol ) and the suitable halomethyl-derivative ( 1.0 mmol ) were consequentially added to a solution of the sulfanyl compound $\mathbf{4 4 - 5 0}[13,37,38](1.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous DMF ( 1 mL ). The reaction mixture was stirred at rt until the disappearance of the starting material (TLC monitoring). At reaction completion, water was added ( 25 mL ) to precipitate
a solid which was collected by filtration and triturated with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The crude product was purified by column chromatography (compounds $\mathbf{4}, \mathbf{7}, \mathbf{1 6}, \mathbf{2 6}, \mathbf{2 7}$ ), preparative $\operatorname{TLC}(\mathbf{1 7}, \mathbf{2 8})$ or recrystallized (1, 3, 5, 6, 8-15, 18-25).

2-\{[6-Amino-3,5-dicyano-4-(4-(cyclopropylmethoxy)phenyl)pyridin-2-yl]thio\}acetamide $\mathbf{1}[13,21]$. Yield $40 \%$; mp 219-220 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{MeOH}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $8.00\left(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 7.45-7.55(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{Ar}+\mathrm{NH}), 7.25(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 7.10(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}, \mathrm{J}=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.91\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}, \mathrm{~J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 3.88(\mathrm{~s}$, $2 H, S_{2}$ ), 1.23-1.29 (m, 1H, CH), 0.58-0.62 (m, 2H, CHeq), 0.34-0.38 (m, 2H, CHax). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$.

2-\{[6-Amino-3,5-dicyano-4-(4-(cyclobutylmethoxy)phenyl)pyridin-2-yl]thio\}acetamide 3 .
Yield $21 \%$; mp 244-246 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (EtOAc/cyclohexane); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) 7.99 (br s, 2H, $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ ), 7.50$7.47(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}+\mathrm{NH}), 7.49(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}, \mathrm{J}=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.26(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 7.10(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}, \mathrm{J}=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $4.04\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}, \mathrm{~J}=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 3.88\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{SCH}_{2}\right), 2.78-2.71(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 1.99-1.82(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}$, $3 \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ); IR 3664, 3361, 3252, 2214, 1635. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$.

2-\{[6-Amino-3,5-dicyano-4-(4-isobutoxyphenyl)pyridin-2-yl]thio\}acetamide 4.
Yield $21 \%$; column chromatography, eluting system $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}, 9.7: 0.3$; mp 233-235 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (EtOH); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) 7.99 (br s, 2H, NH2), 7.49-7.47 (m, 3H, $\mathrm{Ar}+\mathrm{NH}$ ), $7.25(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH})$, $7.11(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}, \mathrm{J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.88\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{SCH}_{2}\right), 3.84\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}, \mathrm{~J}=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 2.08-2.02(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, CH), $1.01\left(\mathrm{~d}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{~J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$; IR 3537, 3375, 3196, 2208, 1639. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$.

2-\{[6-Amino-3,5-dicyano-4-(4-ethoxyphenyl)pyridin-2-yl]thio\}acetamide 5.
Yield $35 \%$; mp 235-237 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{EtOH}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) 7.98 (br s, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ ), $7.50(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH})$, $7.48(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}, \mathrm{J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.23(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 7.10(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}, \mathrm{J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.12\left(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}, \mathrm{~J}=\right.$ $7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.88\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{SCH}_{2}\right), 1.36\left(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{~J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$,); IR 3396, 3180, 2210, 1637. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$.

2-\{[4-(4-(Allyloxy)phenyl)-6-amino-3,5-dicyanopyridin-2-yl]thio)\}acetamide 6 [13].

Yield 67\%; mp 204-206 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{EtOH}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $8.00\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 7.50(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}+$ NH), $7.26(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 7.14(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}, \mathrm{J}=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.98-6.18(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 5.45(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}, \mathrm{J}=$ $17.3,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.30(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}, \mathrm{J}=10.5,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.67\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}, \mathrm{~J}=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 3.89(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{SCH}_{2}$ ); IR 3325, 3215, 3184, 2212. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$.

2-\{[6-Amino-3,5-dicyano-4-(4-[(2-methylallyl)oxy]phenyl)pyridin-2-yl]thio\}acetamide 7.
Yield 27\%; column chromatography, eluting system EtOAc/cyclohexane/MeOH, 5:5:1); mp 224$226{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{EtOH}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d $\left.{ }_{6}\right) 7.99\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 7.51(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 7.50(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}, \mathrm{J}=8.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 7.25(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 7.13(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}, \mathrm{J}=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.10(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 4.99(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 4.57(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{OCH}_{2}$ ), $3.88\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{SCH}_{2}\right), 1.08\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; IR 3481, 3334, 3234, 2212, 1681. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$.

2-\{]4-(4-Acetamidophenyl)-6-amino-3,5-dicyanopyridin-2-yl]thio\}acetamide 8 [13].
Yield $19 \%$; 279-281 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{MeOH}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) 10.2 (br s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}$ ), 7.99 (br s, 2H, $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ ), $7.74(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}, \mathrm{J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.49-7.47(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}+\mathrm{NH}), 7.24(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 3.89\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{SCH}_{2}\right), 2.09$ (s, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ : 169.38, 169.27, 166.67, 160.10, 158.52, 141.70, 129.72, $128.44,119.12,115.82,93.65,86.29,33.78,24.57$; IR 3419, 3367, 2208, 1647. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$.

2-\{[6-Amino-3,5-dicyano-4-(4-(cyclopropylmethoxy)phenyl)pyridin-2-yl]thio\}-N-methylacetamide 9.

Yield 71\%; mp 274-276 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Acetone); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{DMSO}_{\mathrm{d}}^{6}$ ) 8.20-7.90 (bm, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}+\mathrm{NH}$ ), 7.47 $(\mathrm{d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}, \mathrm{J}=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.09(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}, \mathrm{J}=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.85-3.95\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{SCH}_{2}+\mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 2.62(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{~J}=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 1.23-1.30(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 0.57-0.61(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHeq}), 0.34-0.38(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHax}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): 167.79, 166.54, 160.76, 160.16, 158.59, 130.64, 126.04, 115.90, 115.00, 93.70, 86.24, 72.75, 33.73, 26.56, 10.53, 3.61. IR 3390, 3323, 3223, 2208. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$. 2-\{[6-Amino-3,5-dicyano-4-(4-(cyclopropylmethoxy)phenyl)pyridin-2-yl]thio\}-N-(hydroxymethyl)acetamide 10.

Yield 79\%; mp 202-204 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{MeOH}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d $\left.\mathrm{d}_{6}\right) 8.69(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}, \mathrm{J}=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7.8-8.2(\mathrm{br}$ $\left.\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 7.46-7.50(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.08-7.12(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 5.72(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{J}=6.42 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.53(\mathrm{t}$, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{J}=6.00\right), 3.90-3.92\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.22-1.29(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 0.58-0.62(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHeq})$, 0.34-0.38 (m, 2H, CHax); IR 3394, 3319, 3224, 2208, 1639. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}$.

2-\{[6-Amino-3,5-dicyano-4-(4-(cyclopropylmethoxy)phenyl)pyridin-2-yl]thio\}-N-hydroxyacetamide 11.

Yield 60\%; mp 176-178 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{EtOH}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) 10.58 (br s, 1H, OH), 9.06 (br s, 1H, NH ), 8.01 (br s, 2H, NH2), 7.48 (d, 2H, Ar, J = 8.6 Hz ), $7.10(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}, \mathrm{J}=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.91(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{SCH}_{2}, \mathrm{~J}=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 3.81\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 1.27-1.24(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 0.58-0.62(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHeq}), 0.37-$ 0.42 (m, 2H, CHax); IR 3649, 3331, 3223, 2208. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}$.

Methyl 2-\{[6-amino-3,5-dicyano-4-(4-(cyclopropylmethoxy)phenyl)pyridin-2-yl]thio\}acetate $\mathbf{1 2}$. Yield $41 \%$; mp 205-207 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{EtOH})$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) 7.9 (br s, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ ), 7.48 (d, 2 H , ar $\mathrm{J}=$ 8.8), $7.1(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}, \mathrm{J}=8.8), 4.20\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{SCH}_{2}\right), 3.91\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}, \mathrm{~J}=7.0\right), 3.69\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$, 1.24-1.28 (m, 1H, CH), 0.58-0.62 (m, 2H, CHeq), 0.35-0.38 (m, 2H, CHax); IR 3446, 3342, 3224, 2210, 1739. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}$

3-\{[(6-Amino-3,5-dicyano-4-[4-(cyclopropylmethoxy)phenyl]pyridin-2-yl)thio]methyl\}benzamide 13.

Yield 47\%; mp 243-245 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (EtOAc/2-methoxyethanol); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) 8.05 (br s, 2H, $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ ), $8.00(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \operatorname{Ar}), 7.96(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 7.77(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}, \mathrm{J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.69(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}, \mathrm{J}=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.47-$ $7.38(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 3 \mathrm{ar}+\mathrm{NH}), 7.07(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}, \mathrm{J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.54\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{SCH}_{2}\right), 3.90\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}, \mathrm{~J}=\right.$ 7.0), 1.23-1.28 (m, 1H, CH), 0.58-0.61 (m, 2H, CHeq), 0.33-0.37 (m, 2H, CHax); IR 3441, 3387, 3356, 3169, 2212, 1635. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$.

Methyl 3-\{[(6-amino-3,5-dicyano-4-[4-(cyclopropylmethoxy)phenyl]pyridin-2-yl)thio]methyl\} benzoate 14.

Yield $58 \%$; mp 158-160 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{MeOH}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{DMSO}_{6}$ ) 8.10 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, ar), 7.90-8.35 (br s, 2H, $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ ), 7.84-7.87 (m, 2H, Ar), 7.44-7.49 (m, 3H, Ar), 7.07 (d, 2H, ar, J= 8.8 Hz ), $4.59\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{SCH}_{2}\right)$,
$3.90\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{~J}=7.04\right), 3.86\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 1.23-1.27(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 0.58-0.62(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHeq})$, 0.34-0.37 (m, 2H, CHax); IR 3396, 3331, 3230, 2210, 1707. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}$.

2-\{[(1H-Imidazol-2-yl)methyl]thio\}-6-amino-4-[4-(cyclopropylmethoxy)phenyl]pyridine-3,5dicarbonitrile 15.

Yield $63 \% ; \mathrm{mp} 226-228{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{EtOH}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $11.8(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 8.07\left(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)$, 7.47 (d, 2H, Ar, J = 8.2 Hz ), $7.09(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}, \mathrm{J}=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 6.90(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}, \mathrm{Ar}), 4.49(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{SCH}_{2}\right), 3.90\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}, \mathrm{~J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 1.23-1.29(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 0.62-0.58(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHeq}), 0.39-0.35$ (m, 2H, CHax); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): 166.59, 160.74, 160.32, 158.58, 155.20, 143.02, 130.64, 126.03, 115.96, 115.91, 114.98, 93.64, 86.38, 72.75, 27.21, 10.53, 3.62; IR 3430, 3387, 2229. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{OS}$.

2-\{[(1H-Imidazol-5-yl)methyl]thio\}-6-amino-4-[4-(cyclopropylmethoxy)phenyl]pyridine-3,5dicarbonitrile 16.

Yield $32 \%$; column chromatography, eluting system $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3} / \mathrm{MeOH}, 9: 1$ ); mp $186-188{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{EtOH})$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $11.97(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 8.04\left(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 7.59(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.47(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}, \mathrm{J}=$ $8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.18(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.08(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{J}=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.40\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{SCH}_{2}\right), 3.90\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}, \mathrm{~J}=6.9\right.$ $\mathrm{Hz})$, 1.23-1.27 (m, 1H, CH), 0.63-0.57 (m, 2H, CHeq), 0.34-0.37 (m, 2H, CHax); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ): 167.24, 160.67, 160.18, 158.49, 130.63, 126.16, 116.02, 114.95, 93.81, 86.05, 72.73, $10.53,3.60$; IR 3318, 3205, 3080, 2212. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{OS}$.

2-Amino-4-[4-(cyclopropylmethoxy)phenyl]-6-\{[(4,5-dihydro-1H-imidazol-2-
yl)methyl]thiolpyridine-3,5-dicarbonitrile 17.
Yield $37 \%$; preparative TLC, eluent EtOAC/cyclohexane/MeOH, 6:3:1); mp 260-262 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (2propanol/EtOAc); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d $\left.\mathrm{d}_{6}\right) 7.40(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{J}=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.19\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 7.12(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\operatorname{Ar} \mathrm{J}=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.44(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 5.82\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{SCH}_{2}\right), 3.92\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}, \mathrm{~J}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 3.77(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}, \mathrm{~J}=9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 3.23\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}, \mathrm{~J}=10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 1.31-1.24(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 0.68-0.58(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHeq})$, $0.35-0.30(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHax})$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{OS}$.

2-\{[(1H-Benzo[d]imidazol-2-yl)methyl]thio\}-6-amino-4-[4-(cyclopropylmethoxy)phenyl]pyridine-3,5-dicarbonitrile 18.

Yield $64 \% ; \mathrm{mp}>300{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{MeOH}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{DMSO}_{-} \mathrm{d}_{6}\right) 12.3$ (br s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}$ ), 7.9-8.4 (br s, 2 H , $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ ), $7.57(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}, \mathrm{J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.46-7.48(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.15-7.20(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.09(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}, \mathrm{J}=$ $8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.71\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.9\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}, \mathrm{~J}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 1.22-1.30(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 0.57-0.62(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, CHeq), 0.33-0.37 (m, 2H, CHax); IR 3396, 3331, 2210. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{OS}$.

2-\{[(1H-Pyrazol-5-yl)methyl]thio\}-6-amino-4-[4-(cyclopropylmethoxy)phenyl]pyridine-3,5dicarbonitrile 19.

Yield $37 \%$; mp 133-136 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (EtOH/cyclohexane); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) 12.7 (br s, 1H, NH), 8.0 (br $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ ), 7.67 (br s, 1H, Ar), $7.47(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}, \mathrm{J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $7.09(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}, \mathrm{J}=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.32(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 4.49\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{SCH}_{2}\right), 3.91\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}, \mathrm{~J}=6.9\right), 1.22-1.26(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 0.58-0.62(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, CHeq), 0.36-0.40 (m, 2H, CHax). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{OS}$.

2-\{[(1H-1,2,4-Triazol-5-yl)methyl]thio\}-6-amino-4-[4-(cyclopropylmethoxy)phenyl]pyridine-3,5dicarbonitrile 20.

Yield 72\%; mp 240-242 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{EtOH} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{DMSO}_{-} \mathrm{d}_{6}\right) 13.9$ (br s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}$ ), 8.3 (br s, 1 H , Ar), $8.10\left(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 7.48(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}, \mathrm{J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.09(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}, \mathrm{J}=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.59(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{SCH}_{2}\right), 3.91\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}, \mathrm{~J}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 1.23-1.29(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 0.57-0.62(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHeq}), 0.34-0.37$ (m, 2H, CHax); IR 3441, 3323, 3207, 2212. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{OS}$.

2-\{[(1H-Tetrazol-5-yl)methyl]thio\}-6-amino-4-[4-(cyclopropylmethoxy)phenyl]pyridine-3,5dicarbonitrile 21.

Yield 64\%; mp 225-227 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{EtOH} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{DMSO}_{6}$ ) 16.10 (br s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}$ ), 8.00 (br s, $2 H, N H_{2}$ ), $7.47(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}, \mathrm{J}=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.09(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}, \mathrm{J}=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.78\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{SCH}_{2}\right), 3.91(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{OCH}_{2}, \mathrm{~J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 1.24-1.28(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 0.58-0.61(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHeq}), 0.34-0.37(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHax}) ; \mathrm{IR}$ 3442, 3323, 3224, 2225, 2208. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{OS}$.

2-Amino-6-\{[(2-aminothiazol-4-yl)methyl]thio\}-4-[4-(cyclopropylmethoxy)phenyl]pyridine-3,5dicarbonitrile 22.

Yield $87 \%$; mp 238-240 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{EtOH} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{DMSO}_{6}$ ) 8.02 (br s, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ ), $7.46(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{Ar}, \mathrm{J}=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.08(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}, \mathrm{J}=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.98\left(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 6.63(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 4.31(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{SCH}_{2}\right), 3.90\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}, \mathrm{~J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 1.23-1.29(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 0.57-0.62(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHeq}), 0.34-0.37$ (m, 2H, CHax); IR 3423, 3327, 3184-3170, 2216. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{OS}_{2}$.

2-\{[(1H-1,2,4-Triazol-1-yl)methyl]thio\}-6-amino-4-[4-(cyclopropylmethoxy)phenyl]pyridine-3,5dicarbonitrile 23.

Yield $40 \%$; mp 235-237 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{EtOH})$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d $\left.\mathrm{d}_{6}\right) 8.99$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}$ ), $8.75-7.78$ (m, 2H, $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ ), $8.04(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.47(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}, \mathrm{J}=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.08(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}, \mathrm{J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.03\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $3.90\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}, \mathrm{~J}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 1.32-1.24(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 0.64-0.54(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHeq}), 0.38-0.32(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ CHax); IR 3311, 2212. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{OS}$.

2-Amino-4-[4-(cyclopropylmethoxy)phenyl]-6-[(pyridin-3-ylmethyl)thio]pyridine-3,5-dicarbonitrile 24.

Yield $80 \%$; mp 190-192 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{MeOH}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) 8.7 (s, 1H, Ar), 8.45 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}$ ), 8.11 (br s, 2H, NH2), $7.94(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}, \mathrm{J}=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.42(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}, \mathrm{J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.35-7.37(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar})$, $7.05(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar}, \mathrm{J}=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.48\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{SCH}_{2}\right), 3.86\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}, \mathrm{~J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 1.19-1.25(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, CH), 0.56-0.59 (m, 2H, CH eq), 0.30-0.34 (m, 2H, CH ax); IR 3369, 2212. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{OS}$.

2-\{[6-Amino-3,5-dicyano-4-(4-ethoxyphenyl)pyridin-2-yl]thio\}-N-methylacetamide 25.
Yield 32\%; mp 262-264 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{EtOH}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) 8.1-7.9 (m, 3H, NH $+\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ ), $7.48(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, Ar, J= 8.5 Hz ), $7.09(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}, \mathrm{J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.12\left(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}, \mathrm{~J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz},\right), 3.88\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{SCH}_{2}\right)$, $2.61\left(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{~J}=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 1.36\left(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{~J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.\mathrm{d}_{6}\right): 167.78,166.54$, $160.15,158.57,130.67,126.05,115.93,114.94,93.63,86.73,63.83,33.71,26.56,15.07$; IR 3394, 3223, 2210, 1637. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$.

2-\{[(1H-Imidazol-2-yl)methyl]thio\}-6-amino-4-(4-ethoxyphenyl)pyridine-3,5-dicarbonitrile 26.
Yield $41 \%$; column chromatography, eluting system EtOAc/cyclohexane/MeOH, 6:3:1); mp 242$244{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{EtOH}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d $\left.\mathrm{d}_{6}\right) 11.84(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 8.1$ (br s, 2H, NH 2 ), $7.47(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}, \mathrm{J}=$
$8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.10-7.08(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 6.96(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 4.50\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{SCH}_{2}\right), 4.10\left(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}, \mathrm{~J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$, $1.36\left(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{~J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ): 166.60, 160.65, 160.32, 158.56, 143.01, $130.67,126.06,115.94,115.89,114.94,93.66,86.40,63.83,27.23,15.06$; IR $3506,3398,3159$, 2212. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{OS}$.

2-\{[(1H-Pyrazol-5-yl)methyl]thio\}-6-amino-4-(4-ethoxyphenyl)pyridine-3,5-dicarbonitrile 27.
Yield 29\%; column chromatography, eluting system EtOAc/cyclohexane/MeOH, 5:5:1); mp 195$197{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{EtOH}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{DMSO}_{6}$ ) $12.72(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 8.05\left(\mathrm{BR} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 7.65(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar})$, $7.47(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}, \mathrm{J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.08(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}, \mathrm{J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.31(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 4.48\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{SCH}_{2}\right), 4.11$ $\left(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}, \mathrm{~J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 1.36\left(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{~J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$; IR 3456, 3319, 3215, 2218. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{OS}$.

2-\{[(1H-Pyrazol-5-yl)methyl]thio\}-4-(4-(allyloxy)phenyl)-6-aminopyridine-3,5-dicarbonitrile 28. Yield $65 \%$; preparative TLC, eluent $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}, 9.5: 0.5\right) ; \mathrm{mp} 129-131{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{EtOH}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ): 12.71 (br s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}$ ), 8.08 (br s, 2H, $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ ), 7.59 (br s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}$ ), 7.49 (d, 2H, Ar, J= 8.7 $\mathrm{Hz}), 7.12(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}, \mathrm{J}=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.32(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}, \mathrm{J}=2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.13-6.03(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 5.44(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}, \mathrm{J}=17.3,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.30(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}, \mathrm{J}=10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.66\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}, \mathrm{~J}=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 4.49(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{SCH}_{2}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ): 160.25, 160.23, 158.49, 133.86, 130.67, 128.81, 126.41, 125.65, $118.35,115.95,115.18,111.08,109.95,105.12,93.71,86.31,68.84$; IR 3335, 3179, 2210. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{OS}$.

## 4-(Cyclobutylmethoxy)benzaldehyde 29 [35].

To a solution of 4-hydroxybenzaldehyde ( 12.3 mmol ) in anhydrous acetone ( 20 mL ), potassium carbonate ( 18.5 mmol ) was added, followed by the cyclobutylmethyl bromide ( 18.5 mmol ). The mixture was heated at reflux for 36 h . Then, the mixture was cooled to rt and the insoluble material was filtered and washed with acetone ( $3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The resulting filtrates were collected and evaporated under vacuum, affording an oily residue which was dissolved in EtOAc ( 150 mL ). The organic layer was washed with $25 \% \mathrm{NaOH}$ solution ( $4 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), with water ( $3 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and then
dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$. After evaporation of the solvent, the desired compound was obtained as a viscous oil. The product was pure enough to be used without further purification. Yield $67 \%$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $9.86(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHO}), 7.85(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}, \mathrm{J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.11(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}, \mathrm{J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.06(\mathrm{~d}$, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}, \mathrm{~J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 2.77-2.71(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 2.08-2.07\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.90-1.82\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$.

## N-[4-(2,2-Dicyanovinyl)phenyl]acetamide 36 [37].

Malononitrile ( 17.3 mmol ) and piperidine (two drops) were added to a solution of commercially available 4-acetamidobenzaldehyde $34(17.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{EtOH}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The mixture was heated at reflux for 2 h , then cooled to rt affording an orange solid which was filtered, washed with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (5 $\mathrm{mL})$ and petroleum ether ( 2 ml ), and recrystallized. Yield $63 \%$; mp 234-236 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{EtOH}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $10.51(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 8.37(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 7.94(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}, \mathrm{J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.80(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}, \mathrm{J}=$ 8.8 Hz ), $2.11\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; IR 2224, 1693. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}$.

General Procedure for the Synthesis of 2-Amino-4-Aryl-6-(phenylthio)pyridine-3,5dicarbonitriles 37-41, 43.

To a stirred solution of the suitable aldehyde 29 [35], 30-33, 35 ( 10 mmol ), malononitrile ( 20 $\mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{DBU}(5 \% \mathrm{~mol})$ in $10 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{EtOH}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$, thiophenol ( 10 mmol ) was added after 20 min . Thus, the reaction mixture was stirred at $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until the disappearance of the starting material (TLC monitoring). The suspension was then cooled to rt and a solid precipitated which was collected by filtration, washed with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(5-10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and then dried in oven at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. The crude products were purified by crystallization.

2-Amino-4-[4-(cyclobutylmethoxy)phenyl]-6-(phenylthio)pyridine-3,5-dicarbonitrile 37.
Yield $18 \%$; mp 180-182 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{MeOH}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) 7.7 (br s, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ ), 7.61-7.60 (m, 2H, Ar), 7.51-7.49 (m, 5H, Ar), 7.12 (d, 2H, Ar, J = 8.6 Hz ), $4.05\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}, \mathrm{~J}=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 2.77-2.75$ (m, 1H, CH), 2.11-2.09 (m, 2H, CH2), 1.93-1.89 (m, 4H, 2CH2); IR 3348, 3280, 2216. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{OS}$.

2-Amino-4-(4-isobutoxyphenyl)-6-(phenylthio)pyridine-3,5-dicarbonitrile 38.
Yield 26\%; mp 181-183 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{MeOH}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) 7.74 (s, 2H, $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ ), 7.61-7.59 (m, 2H, ar), 7.50-7.49 (m, 5H, Ar), $7.11(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}, \mathrm{J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.84\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}, \mathrm{~J}=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 2.09-2.02$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 1.02\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.00\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; IR 3302, 3232, 2214. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{OS}$.

2-Amino-4-(4-ethoxyphenyl)-6-(phenylthio)pyridine-3,5-dicarbonitrile 39.
Yield $37 \%$; mp 252-254 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (2-propanol); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $7.60\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 7.62-7.60(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.58-7.48(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.11(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}, \mathrm{J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.13\left(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}, \mathrm{~J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 1.37(\mathrm{t}$, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{~J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); IR 3344, 3215, 2216. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{OS}$.

4-[4-(Allyloxy)phenyl]-2-amino-6-(phenylthio)pyridine-3,5-dicarbonitrile 40.
Yield $28 \%$; mp 234-236 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (EtOAc/cyclohexane); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{DMSO}_{\mathrm{d}}^{6}$ ): $7.77\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right.$ ), 7.61$7.60(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7,59-7.58(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.51(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}, \mathrm{J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.14(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}, \mathrm{J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 6.13-6.03 (m, 1H, CH), $5.45(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}, \mathrm{J}=17.2,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.30(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}, \mathrm{J}=10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.67(\mathrm{~d}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}, \mathrm{~J}=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); IR 3358, 3215, 2214, 1624. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{OS}$.

2-Amino-4-\{4-[(2-methylallyl)oxy]phenyl\}-6-(phenylthio)pyridine-3,5-dicarbonitrile 41.
Yield $25 \%$; mp 198-200 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{EtOH}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $7.76\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 7.60-7.61(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ar})$, 7.60-7.59 (m, 3H, Ar), 7.55 (d, 2H, Ar, J= 8.4 Hz ), $7.14(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}, \mathrm{J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.11(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH})$, $5.00(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 4.58\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.81\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; IR 3481, 3369, 2212. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{OS}$.

2-Amino-4-[4-(cyclopropylmethoxy)phenyl]-6-(phenylthio)pyridine-3,5-dicarbonitrile 43.
Yield $33 \% ; \mathrm{mp} 261-263{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{EtOH}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $7.70\left(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 7.60-7.62(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, Ar), 7.48-7.51 (m, 5H, Ar), $7.10(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}, \mathrm{J}=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.92\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}, \mathrm{~J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 1.25-1.28$ (m, 1H, CH), 0.58-0.62 (m, 2H, 2CHeq), 0.36-0.38 (m, 2H, 2CHax); IR 3330, 3220, 2224. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{OS}$.

A solution of compound 36 [37] ( 5.4 mmol ), malononitrile ( 5.4 mmol ), thiophenol ( 5.4 mmol ) and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(0.54 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{EtOH}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ was heated at reflux for 2 h . Then, after cooling to rt a yellow solid was obtained which was filtered and washed with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$. Yield $44 \% ; \mathrm{mp}>300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (EtOH); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) 10.24 (s, 1H, NH), 7.76 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ ), 7.74 (m, 2H, Ar), 7.61-7.60 (m, 5H, Ar), $7.50(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}, \mathrm{J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.10\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; IR 3481, 3344, 3298, 2212, 1681. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{OS}$.

## General Procedure For the Synthesis of 2-Amino-4-Aryl-6-mercaptopyridine-3,5dicarbonitriles 44-50.

To a stirred solution of the suitable 6-phenylsulfanyl derivative $\mathbf{3 7 - 4 3}$ ( 10 mmol ) in anhydrous DMF ( 1 mL ) maintained at rt and under nitrogen atmosphere, an excess of sodium sulfite ( 33 mmol) was added. The reaction mixture was heated at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . Then, $1 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl}(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added followed by addition of $6 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ to obtain a huge precipitate which was filtered and washed with water ( 20 mL ) and $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The crude derivatives were purified by crystallization.

## 2-Amino-4-[4-(cyclobutylmethoxy)phenyl]-6-mercaptopyridine-3,5-dicarbonitrile 44.

Yield 84\%; mp 256-259 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{EtOH}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) 12.95 (s, 1H, SH), 7.08 (s, 2H, NH $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ ), 7.46 (d, 2H, Ar, J= 8.0 Hz ), $7.09(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}, \mathrm{J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.04\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}, \mathrm{~J}=6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 2.87-2.73(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 2.10-1.92\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.90-1.89\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$; IR 3474, 3335, 2214. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{OS}$.

2-Amino-4-(4-isobutoxyphenyl)-6-mercaptopyridine-3,5-dicarbonitrile 45.
Yield $86 \%$; mp 210-213 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{EtOH}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $13.02(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{SH}), 7.77\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)$, $7.45(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}, \mathrm{J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.09(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}, \mathrm{J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.83\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}, \mathrm{~J}=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 2.06-2.03(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 1.02\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.99\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{OS}$. 2-Amino-4-(4-ethoxyphenyl)-6-mercaptopyridine-3,5-dicarbonitrile 46 [38].

Yield 76\%; mp: 290-292 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{EtOH}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d $\left.\mathrm{d}_{6}\right) 12.99$ (s, 1H, SH), 8.11 (s, 2H, $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ ), $7.54(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}, \mathrm{J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.14(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}, \mathrm{J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.14\left(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}, \mathrm{~J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 1.38(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{~J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); IR 3336, 3117, 2212. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{OS}$.

4-[4-(Allyloxy)phenyl]-2-amino-6-mercaptopyridine-3,5-dicarbonitrile 47 [13].
Yield $84 \%$; mp 208-211 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{EtOAc}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{DMSO}_{6}$ ) 12.98 (s, 1H, SH); 7.90 (s, 2H, $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ ); 7.47 (d, 2H, Ar, J= 8.6 Hz ); 7.12 (d, 2H, Ar, J= 8.6 Hz ); 6.11-6.04 (m, 1H, CH); 5.45 (dd, 1H, CH, $\mathrm{J}=17.2,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz},), 5.30(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}, \mathrm{J}=10.4,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.66\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}, \mathrm{~J}=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz},\right)$; IR 3476 , 3319, 3209, 2212. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{OS}$.

2-Amino-6-mercapto-4-\{4-[(2-methylallyl)oxy]phenyl\}pyridine-3,5-dicarbonitrile 48.
Yield $72 \%$; mp 180-183 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ dec. (EtOH); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) 12.98 (s, 1H, SH), 8.11 (br s, 2H, $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ ), 7.53 (d, 2H, Ar, J=7.4 Hz), 7.08 (d, 2H, Ar, J= 8.8), 5.09 (s, 1H, CH), $4.90(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 4.58$ (s, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}$ ), $1.80\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{OS}$.

N-[4-(2-amino-3,5-dicyano-6-mercaptopyridin-4-yl)phenyl]acetamide 49 [37].
Yield $40 \%$; mp 275-277 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ dec. (EtOH/EtOAc); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) 12.94 (s, 1H, SH), 10.37 (s, 1H, NH), 8.19 (s, 2H, NH2), 7.73 (d, 2H, Ar, J= 8.0 Hz ), 7.44 (d, 2H, Ar, J= 8.0 Hz ), 2.08 (s, 3H, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$; ; IR 3317, 3215, 2214, 1539. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{OS}$.

2-Amino-4-[4-(cyclopropylmethoxy)phenyl]-6-mercaptopyridine-3,5-dicarbonitrile 50.
Yield $80 \%$; mp 168-170 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{EtOAc}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{DMSO}_{6}$ ) 12.9 (br s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{SH}$ ), 7.8 (br s, 2H, $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ ), $7.45(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}, \mathrm{J}=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.08(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}, \mathrm{J}=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.91\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}, \mathrm{~J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 1.23-1.27(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 0.57-0.62$ (m, 2H, CHeq), 0.33-0.37 (m, 2H, CHax); IR 3309, 3190, 2219. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{OS}$

## 2-Chloro-N-hydroxyacetamide 51 [39].

An aqueous $50 \%$ solution of hydroxylamine ( 12.0 mmol ) was added to ethyl chloroacetate ( 12.0 mmol ) in a 50 ml round bottomed flask and stirred for 5 min at rt . Then, the mixture was cooled at 4 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for 15 min , and finally, re-warmed to rt and stirred for 3 h . The resulting precipitate
was collected by filtration and used for the next step without any further purification. Yield 53\%; mp 93-95 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Lit mp 92-93 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO): 7.41 (br s, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}+\mathrm{NH}$ ), $3.94\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$.

## 3,6-Diamino-5-cyano-4-[4-(cyclopropylmethoxy)phenyl]thieno[2,3-b]pyridine-2-carboxamide

52. 

A solution of compound 1 [13,21] ( 0.71 mmol ) and potassium hydroxide ( 1.42 mmol ) in absolute EtOH ( 10 mL ) was refluxed for 3 h . Then, iced-water ( 30 mL ) was added and the mixture was neutralized with 5 N HCl . The green solid was collected by filtration, washed with water ( 10 ml ) and with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$, and then recrystallized. Yield $85 \%$; mp 260-262 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Dioxane); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $7.39(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}, \mathrm{J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 7.27 (br s, 2H, NH2 $), 7.12(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}, \mathrm{J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 6.96 (br $\left.\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 5.70\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 3.91\left(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}, \mathrm{~J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 1.30-1.23(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 0.62-0.58$ (m, 2H, CHeq), 0.38-0.34 (m, 2H, CHax); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): 167.23, 163.83, 160.08, 158.93, $152.76,146.75,130.06,125.74,116.43,115.29,114.78,93.35,90.92,72.71,10.57,3.62$; IR 3466, 3452, 3346, 3315, 3136, 2214, 1629. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$.

## Pharmacology

Cell culture and membrane preparation. CHO cells transfected with $\mathrm{hA}_{1}, \mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}, \mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}$ and $\mathrm{hA}_{3}$ ARs were grown adherently and maintained in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium with nutrient mixture F12, containing $10 \%$ fetal calf serum, penicillin ( $100 \mathrm{U} / \mathrm{ml}$ ), streptomycin ( $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ ), 1glutamine ( 2 mM ), geneticine ( $\mathrm{G} 418 ; 0.2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ ) at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2} / 95 \%$ air until the use in cAMP assays [54]. For membrane preparation the culture medium was removed, and the cells were washed with phosphate-buffered saline and scraped off T75 flasks in ice-cold hypotonic buffer ( 5 mM Tris $\mathrm{HCl}, 1 \mathrm{mM}$ EDTA, pH 7.4 ). The cell suspension was homogenized with a Polytron, centrifuged for 30 min at 40000 g at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the resulting membrane pellet was used for competition binding experiments [54].

Competition binding experiments. All synthesized compounds have been tested for their affinity to $\mathrm{hA}_{1}, \mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ and $\mathrm{hA}_{3}$ ARs. Competition experiments to $\mathrm{hA}_{1}$ ARs were carried out incubating 1 nM $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$-8-cyclopentyl-1,3-dipropylxanthine ( $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$-DPCPX) with membrane suspension ( $50 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of protein $/ 100 \mu \mathrm{l}$ ) and different concentrations of the examined compounds at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 90 min in 50 mM Tris $\mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{pH} 7.4$. Non-specific binding was defined as binding in the presence of $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ DPCPX and was always $<10 \%$ of the total binding [54]. Inhibition experiments to $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ ARs were performed incubating the radioligand $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]-\mathrm{ZM} 241385(1 \mathrm{nM})$ with the membrane suspension (50 $\mu \mathrm{g}$ of protein $/ 100 \mu \mathrm{l}$ ) and different concentrations of the examined compounds for 60 min at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in 50 mM Tris $\mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{pH} 7.4), 10 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl} 2$. Non-specific binding was determined in the presence of ZM241385 ( $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) and was about 20\% of the total binding [55]. Competition binding experiments to $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ ARs were carried out incubating the membrane suspension ( $50 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of protein $/ 100 \mu \mathrm{l}$ ) with 0.5 $\mathrm{nM}\left[{ }^{125} \mathrm{I}\right]-\mathrm{N}^{6}$-(4-aminobenzyl)- N -methylcarboxamidoadenosine ([ $\left.{ }^{125} \mathrm{I}\right]$-ABMECA) in the presence of different concentration of the examined compounds for an incubation time of 120 min at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in 50 mM Tris $\mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{pH} 7.4), 10 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl} 2,1 \mathrm{mM}$ EDTA. Non-specific binding was defined as binding in the presence of $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ABMECA and was always $<10 \%$ of the total binding [56]. Bound and free radioactivity were separated by filtering the assay mixture through Whatman GF/B glass fiber filters using a Brandel cell harvester (Brandel Instruments, Unterföhring, Germany). The filter bound radioactivity was counted by Packard Tri Carb 2810 TR scintillation counter (Perkin Elmer). Cyclic AMP assays. CHO cells transfected with hAR subtypes were washed with phosphatebuffered saline, detached with tripsine and centrifuged for 10 min at 200 g . Cells were seeded in 96well white half-area microplate (Perkin Elmer, Boston, USA) in a stimulation buffer composed of Hank Balanced Salt Solution, 5 mM HEPES, 0.5 mM Ro 20-1724, $0.1 \%$ BSA. The examined compounds ( $1 \mathrm{nM}-1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) were tested alone and/or in the presence of NECA, 100 nM . cAMP levels were then quantified by using the AlphaScreen cAMP Detection Kit (Perkin Elmer, Boston, USA) following the manufacturer's instructions [57]. At the end of the experiments, plates were read with the Perkin Elmer EnSight Multimode Plate Reader.

Data Analysis. The protein concentration was determined according to a Bio-Rad method with bovine albumin as a standard reference. Inhibitory binding constant $\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ values were calculated from those of $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ according to Cheng \& Prusoff equation $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{IC}_{50} /\left(1+\left[\mathrm{C}^{*}\right] / \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{*}\right)$, where $\left[\mathrm{C}^{*}\right]$ is the concentration of the radioligand and $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{D}} *$ its dissociation constant [56]. $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}$ and $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values were calculated by non-linear regression analysis using the equation for a sigmoid concentration-response curve (Graph-PAD Prism, San Diego, CA, U.S.A).

## Molecular Modelling

Homology modelling and energy minimization studies were carried out using MOE (Molecular Operating Environment, version 2014.09) suite [47]. All ligand structures were optimized using RHF/AM1 semiempirical calculations and the software package MOPAC [48] implemented in MOE was used for these calculations.

Homology modelling of the $\mathbf{h} \mathbf{A}_{2 B}$ AR. Homology models of the $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}$ AR were built using recently solved X-ray structures of the $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{AR}$ in complex with Ado and UK-432097 as templates, both structures being retrieved from Protein Data Bank (pdb code: 2YDO; 3.0-Å resolution [45] and pdb code: 3QAK; 2.7-A resolution [46], respectively). A multiple alignment of the hAR primary sequences was built within MOE as preliminary step. For all $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}$ AR models, the boundaries identified from the used X-ray crystal structure of $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{AR}$ were then applied for the corresponding sequences of the TM helices of the $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$. The missing loop domains were built by the loop search method implemented in MOE. Once the heavy atoms were modelled, all hydrogen atoms were added, and the protein coordinates were then minimized with MOE using the AMBER99 force field [58] until the Root Mean Square (RMS) gradient of the potential energy was less than 0.05 kJ $\mathrm{mol}^{-1} \AA^{-1}$. Reliability and quality of these models were checked using the Protein Geometry Monitor application within MOE, which provides a variety of stereochemical measurements for inspection
of the structural quality in a given protein, like backbone bond lengths, angles and dihedrals, Ramachandran $\varphi-\psi$ dihedral plots, and quality of side chain rotamer and non-bonded contact.

Molecular docking analysis. All compound structures were docked into the binding site of the $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ structures using three docking tools: the Induced Fit docking protocol of MOE, the genetic algorithm docking tool of CCDC Gold [49], and the Lamarckian genetic algorithm of Autodock [50,51]. The Induced Fit docking protocol of MOE is divided into several stages: Conformational Analysis of ligands. The algorithm generated conformations from a single 3D conformation by conducting a systematic search. In this way, all combinations of angles were created for each ligand. Placement. A collection of poses was generated from the pool of ligand conformations using Alpha Triangle placement method. Poses were generated by superposition of ligand atom triplets and triplet points in the receptor binding site. The receptor site points are alpha sphere centres which represent locations of tight packing. At each iteration, a random conformation was selected, a random triplet of ligand atoms and a random triplet of alpha sphere centres were used to determine the pose. Scoring. Poses generated by the placement methodology were scored using the Alpha $H B$ scoring function, which combines a term measuring the geometric fit of the ligand to the binding site and a term measuring hydrogen bonding effects. Induced Fit. The generated docking conformations were subjected to energy minimization within the binding site and the protein sidechains are included in the refinement stage. In detail, the protein backbone is set as rigid while the side chains are not set to "free to move" but are set to "tethered", where an atom tether is a distance restraint that restrains the distance not between two atoms but between an atom and a fixed point in space. Rescoring. Complexes generated by the Induced Fit methodology stage were scored using the Alpha $H B$ scoring function. Gold tool was used with default efficiency settings through MOE interface, by selecting GoldScore as scoring function [49]. Autodock 4.2.6 software was used with PyRx interface. Lamarckian genetic algorithm was employed for this analysis with the following settings: 50 runs for each ligand; 2,500,000 as maximum number of energy evaluations; 27,000 as maximum number of generations; 0.02 as rate of gene mutation and 0.8 as rate of
crossover. The grid box was set with 50,50 , and 50 points in the $x, y$, and $z$ directions, respectively, with the default grid spacing of $0.375 \AA[50,51,59]$.
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