## Value in Health

Volume 20, Issue 9, October-November 2017, Page A438

# A Systematic Review of Pharmacoeconomic Evaluation of Erlotinib in The First-Line Treatment of Advanced Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer

Nguyen TT, Grappasonni I, Nguyen TB, Petrelli F

# Objectives

Targeted therapy, <u>erlotinib</u>, nowadays plays an important role in the first-line treatment of advanced <u>non-small cell lung cancer</u> (NSCLC) thanks to its effectiveness. However, its <u>cost-effectiveness</u> is still controversial. The aim of the study is to review the available evidence on cost-effectiveness of erlotinib in the first-line treatment of advanced NSCLC.

#### Methods

A systematic review was conducted to identify full-text publications in 3 electronic databases (Sciencedirect, Pubmed, Cochrane) from 2000 with key words through MeSH tool. The researches met inclusion criteria (an original economic evaluation of <u>erlotinib</u> in the first-line treatment of advanced <u>NSCLC</u> and written in English) were extracted data and summarized results into prespecified information table. To compare the results of studies, all currency values were transferred into \$USD in 2016 based on Consumer Price Index. The report's quality of the studies was assessed via the Quality of Health Economic Studies (QHES) instrument by 3 blinded reviewers.

#### Results

From a total 94 detected papers, 9 studies were included in the review. 4 studies compared <u>erlotinib</u> with the best <u>supportive care</u>, 2 studies dealt with reverse strategy, the others compared with cisplatin plus <u>pemetrexed</u>, <u>gefitinib</u> and <u>carboplatin</u> plus <u>gemcitabine</u>. <u>Costeffectiveness</u> analysis, modeling and <u>sensitivity analysis</u> were mostly used methods in these studies. All researches evaluated direct costs and used <u>QALY</u> as outcome with 3% discount rate. The ICUR/QALY of studies ranged from dominant to \$275,428/QALY. Based on WTP threshold, 7/9 studies concluded that erlotinib was cost-effectiveness, 2 studies comparing erlotinib with reverse strategy did not find the difference in cost-effectiveness. Using QHES tool, it has been shown the high quality of these studies with the mean score of 82.17 (6.85) on a scale of 100.

## Conclusions

Most studies suggested that <u>erlotinib</u> was <u>cost-effectiveness</u> in the first-line treatment of advanced NSCLC and the report's quality of studies was high.