

HERITAGE 2022 INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE VERNACULAR HERITAGE: CULTURE, PEOPLE AND SUSTAINABILITY

Eds. C. Mileto, F. Vegas, V. Cristini, L. García-Soriano



edUPV

Universitat Politècnica de València

VERNACULAR HERITAGE: CULTURE, PEOPLE AND SUSTAINABILITY

Eds. C. Mileto, F. Vegas, V. Cristini, L. García-Soriano



edUPV

Universitat Politècnica de València

Colección Congresos UPV

The contents of this publication have been approved by the Congress Scientific Committee and in accordance to the procedure set out in

<http://ocs.editorial.upv.es/index.php/HERITAGE/HERITAGE2022>

First edition, 2022

Scientific Editors

C. Mileto

F. Vegas

V. Cristini

L. García-Soriano

© of the contents: the authors

Publisher

Editorial Universitat Politècnica de València

www.lalibreria.upv.es / Ref.: 6117_01_01_01

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.4995/HERITAGE2022.2022.15942>

ISBN: 978-84-1396-020-3

Print on-demand

Printer

Byprint Percom, S.L.

Printed in Spain



HERITAGE 2022

International Conference on Vernacular Heritage: Culture, People and Sustainability

This book is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike-4.0

International license. Editorial Universitat Politècnica de València

<http://ocs.editorial.upv.es/index.php/HERITAGE/HERITAGE2022>

Preface

C.Mileto, F. Vegas, V. Cristini, L. García-Soriano

Research Centre for Architecture, Heritage and Management for Sustainable Development (PEGASO),
Universitat Politècnica de València, Valencia, Spain

“HERITAGE2022, International Conference on Vernacular Heritage: Culture, People and Sustainability” is organized in the framework of the “VerSus+ | Heritage for PEOPLE” project, co-funded by the Creative Europe Program of the European Union (grant 607593-CREA-1-2019-1-ES-CULT-COOP1) and led by Universitat Politècnica de València (Spain) in partnership with Università degli Studi di Firenze and Università degli Studi di Cagliari (Italy), CRATERRE – ENSAG (France) and Universidade Portucalense - Departamento de Arquitetura e Multimédia Gallaecia (Portugal). The “VerSus+ | Heritage for PEOPLE” project focuses on the transmission of knowledge to communities and the general public. It pays special attention to the society of the future (children and young people), as well as local, regional and national authorities in charge of heritage management, and includes specialists and experts in the field of architecture (architects, engineers, cultural managers, historians, ethnographers, university students, etc.) together with craftsmen and companies in the construction and tourism sectors, cultural and social associations, and educational institutions.

Vernacular heritage is a tangible and intangible heritage of great importance to European and global culture. This architecture, born from the practical experience of local inhabitants, makes use of local materials to erect buildings taking into consideration the climate and geography, developing cultural, social and constructive traditions based on the conditions of the surrounding nature and habitat. Above all, it plays an essential role in contemporary society as it is able to teach us important principles and lessons for a respectful sustainable architecture. These lessons from vernacular heritage for contemporary architecture have been extensively studied in the “VerSus: Lessons from Vernacular Heritage in Sustainable Architecture (grant 2012-2792/001-001 CU7 COOP7)” project, co-funded by the European Union between 2012 and 2014, and the “VerSus+ | Heritage for PEOPLE” (2019-2023) project, which follows on from the previous project, focusing on the transmission of this knowledge to society, as seen earlier. The wisdom of vernacular architecture in the field of environmental, sociocultural and socioeconomic sustainability is increasing both in interest and significance in the world today. Climate change, depopulation and the pressure of tourism all pose major challenges, as do the increasingly rapid social changes and loss of traditional trades resulting from the industrialization of the construction process. These challenges alert us to the pressing and growing need for education and increased awareness in society and for the documentation and conservation of architecture within a framework of up-to-date integration into contemporary life, managing territory and heritage assets for the sustainable development of society in the future.

The second project involved in this conference is “RISK-Terra. Earthen architecture in the Iberian Peninsula: study of natural, social and anthropic risks and strategies to improve resilience” (RTI2018-095302-B-I00) (2019-2022), funded by MCIU (Ministerio de Ciencia, Innovación y Universidades), AEI (Agencia Estatal de Investigación), FEDER - UE (Fondo Europeo de Desarrollo Regional, Unión Europea). This project is geared towards the conservation of earthen architecture in the Iberian Peninsula, both monumental and vernacular, which continues to be undervalued and barely recognized. The RISK-Terra project aims to provide scientific coverage of the study of natural threats (floods, earthquakes, climate change), social threats (abandonment, social discredit, demographic pressure, tourist development), and anthropic threats (neglect, lack of protection and maintenance), as well as the mechanisms for deterioration

and dynamics and transformation (replacement, use of incompatible techniques and materials, etc.) to which architecture is exposed. The objective of the project is to establish strategies for conservation, intervention and rehabilitation which allow the prevention and mitigation of possible damage through compatible actions and/or actions to increase resilience.

As these two projects have major points of contact, particularly in relation to the challenges mentioned above, with potential for common reflection, their main themes have been combined in this Heritage2022 conference. The topics established for the conference are: 1. vernacular architecture: matter, culture and sustainability (study and cataloging of vernacular architecture; urban studies of vernacular architecture; studies of traditional techniques and materials; sustainability of vernacular architecture); 2. heritage education (research in heritage education; heritage education and social inclusion; heritage communities; creativity and heritage education); 3. artisans and crafts of traditional construction (intangible heritage: the management of know-how and local construction culture; training in traditional construction crafts; tradition and innovation in traditional construction crafts; plans and experiences for the recovery and maintenance of construction crafts); 4. conservation, restoration and enhancement of vernacular architecture (conservation and restoration projects of vernacular architecture; materials and intervention techniques for vernacular architecture; difficulties and possibilities of using traditional crafts in conservation; management and maintenance of vernacular architecture).

The scientific committee was made up of 102 outstanding researchers from 24 countries from the five continents, specialists in the subjects proposed. All the contributions to the conference, both the abstracts and the final texts, were subjected to a strict peer-review evaluation system by the members of the scientific committee. Out of the 200 proposals submitted, 134 papers by 254 authors from 25 countries from the four continents were chosen for publication. All the articles have been published in print and online in the two-volume book “Vernacular Heritage: Culture, People and Sustainability”.

“HERITAGE2022 (Versus+ | RISK-Terra), International Conference on Vernacular Heritage: Culture, People and Sustainability” was held from 15 to 17 September 2022 in in-person and online modality at the Universitat Politècnica de València. The conference was under the aegis of: ICOMOS-CIAV (International Scientific Committee of Vernacular Architecture); ICOMOS-ICICH (International Scientific Committee on Intangible Cultural Heritage); IEB (Instituto Español de la Baubiologie). The organization, publication and implementation of the conference have been made possible thanks to co-funding of the Creative Europe Programme of the European Union for the project “VerSus+ | Heritage for PEOPLE” (grant 607593-CREA-1-2019-1-ES-CULT-COOP1); and the MCIU, AEI and FEDER - UE for the research project “Risk-Terra. Earthen architecture in the Iberian Peninsula: study of natural, social and anthropic risks and strategies to improve resilience” (ref.: RTI2018-095302-B-I00). Furthermore, Escuela Técnica Superior de Arquitectura and PEGASO - Research Centre for Architecture, Heritage and Management for Sustainable Development of Universitat Politècnica de València have also contributed to the whole project.

Finally, we would like to thank all the authors who contributed to the quality, range, diversity and richness of these publications with their articles. We give special thanks to all the partners of the European project “VerSus+ | Heritage for PEOPLE” and the national research project “Risk-Terra” for participating in the conference and helping to spreading the word about it worldwide. We are grateful for the aid of all the members of the advisory committee and the scientific committee for their work throughout the process of revising the abstracts and papers. And, above all, we thank the organizing committee for the complex setting up of the whole conference, the style and language reviewers for their corrections, and all the collaborators for their invaluable work in the management and organization of all stages of the process.

Organization and Committees

ORGANIZING COMMITTEE

Camilla Mileto (Chair) – *Universitat Politècnica de València, Spain*
Fernando Vegas López-M. (Chair) – *Universitat Politècnica de València, Spain*
Lidia García Soriano – *Universitat Politècnica de València, Spain*
Valentina Cristini – *Universitat Politècnica de València, Spain*
María Lidón De Miguel – *Universitat Politècnica de València, Spain*
Alicia Hueto Escobar – *Universitat Politècnica de València, Spain*
Vincenzina La Spina – *Universidad Politécnica de Cartagena, Spain*
Sergio Manzano – *Universitat Politècnica de València, Spain*
Francesca Trizio – *Universitat Politècnica de València, Spain*
Matilde Caruso – *Universitat Politècnica de València, Spain*
Marina Elia – *Universitat Politècnica de València, Spain*
Stefania Farina – *Universitat Politècnica de València, Spain*
David Eduardo Morocho-Jaramillo – *Universitat Politècnica de València, Spain*
Eva Tortajada Montalva – *Universitat Politècnica de València, Spain*

ORGANIZED BY

UPV – *Universitat Politècnica de València*
UPT-DAMG – *Universidade Portucalense, Departamento de Arquitetura e Multimédia*
Gallaecia
UNIFI – *Università degli Studi di Firenze*
UNICA – *Università degli Studi di Cagliari*
CRATERRE-ENSAG – *École Nationale Supérieure d'Architecture de Grenoble*
PEGASO – *Research Center Architecture, Heritage and Management for Sustainable*
Development, UPV, Spain
Departamento de Composición Arquitectónica, Spain

CO-FUNDED BY

VERSUS+/Heritage for PEOPLE Project
Creative Europe – *Creative Europe Programme of European Union*
RISK – *terra Project*
MICIU – *Ministerio de Ciencia, Innovación y Universidades*
AEI – *Agencia Estatal de Investigación*
FEDER, UE – *Fondo Europeo de Desarrollo Regional, Unión Europea*



UNDER THE AEGIS OF

ICOMOS-CIAV – International Scientific Committee for Vernacular Architecture –

International Council on Monuments and Sites

ICICH – International Committee on Intangible Cultural Heritage

ICOMOS-ISCEAH–International Scientific Committee on Earthen Architectural Heritage

SCIENTIFIC COMMITTEE

Adolfo Alonso Durá – *Universitat Politècnica de València, Spain*; Ahmed Alaidaroos – *King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia*; Alejandro García Hermida – *Universidad Alfonso X el Sabio, INTBAU-España, Spain*; Alessandro Merlo – *Università di Firenze, Italy*; Alessio Cardaci – *Università di Bergamo, Italy*; Alicia Hueto Escobar – *Universitat Politècnica de Valencia, Spain*; Amparo Graciani García – *Universidad de Sevilla, Spain*; Ana González Serrano – *Universidad de Sevilla, Spain*; Ana Yañez Vega – *Universidad Complutense de Madrid, Spain*; Andrea Pane – *Università Federico II di Napoli, Italy*; Angela Squassina – *Istituto Universitario di Architettura di Venezia, Italy*; Antonella Versaci – *Università Kore di Enna, Italy*; Apolonia Begoña Serrano Lanzarote – *Universitat Politècnica de Valencia, Spain*; Arianna Guardiola Villora – *Universitat Politècnica de Valencia, Spain*; Arturo Zaragoza Catalán, *Generalitat Valenciana, Spain*; Bakonirina Rakotomamonjy – *CRATERRE-ENSAG, France*; Borut Juvanec – *University of Lubiana, Slovenia*; Camilla Mileto – *Universitat Politècnica de València, Spain*; Chiho Ohiai – *Kyoto National University, Japan*; Claudia Cancino – *The Getty Conservation Institute, USA*; Cristina Vidal Lorenzo – *Universitat de València, Spain*; Daniela Esposito – *Università La Sapienza Roma, Italy*; David Eduardo Morocho-Jaramillo – *Universitat Politècnica de València, Spain*; Donatella Fiorani – *Università La Sapienza Roma, Italy*; Eva Tortajada Montalva – *Universitat Politècnica de València, Spain*; Fabio Fatiguso – *Università di Bari, Italy*; Fabio Fratini – *CNR-ICVBC, Sesto Fiorentino (FI), Italy*; Faissal Cherradi – *Ministerio de Cultura, Morocco*; Félix Jové Sandoval – *Universidad de Valladolid, Spain*; Fernando Vegas López-M. – *Universitat Politècnica de València, Spain*; Fernando Vela Cossío – *Universidad Politécnica de Madrid, Spain*; Francisco Javier López Martínez – *Universidad Católica de Murcia, Spain*; Francisco Javier Torrijo Echarri – *Universitat Politècnica de València, Spain*; Francesca Trizio – *Universitat Politècnica de València, Spain*; Francesco Trovó – *Istituto Universitario di Architettura di Venezia, Italy*; Frank Matero, *University of Pennsylvania, USA*; Gaspar Muñoz Cosme – *Universitat Politècnica de València, Spain*; Gilberto Carlos – *Escola Superior Gallaecia, Vila Nova Cerveira, Portugal*; Gisle Jakhelln – *ICOMOS-CIAV, Norway*; Guillermo Guimaraens Igual, *Universitat Politècnica de València, Spain*; Hirohide Kobayashi – *Kyoto National University, Japan*; Hossam Mahdy – *ICOMOS-CIAV, Great Britain*; Hubert Guillaud – *CRATERRE-ENSAG, ISCEAH, France*; Humberto Varum – *Universidade de Porto, Portugal*; Isabel Kanan – *ICOMOS-ISCEAH, PROTERRA, Brazil*; Ivan Enev – *Arquitecto, ICOMOS-CIAV, Bulgaria*; Javier Ors Ausin – *World Monument Fund, United States*; Jorge Luis García

Valdecabres – *Universitat Politècnica de València, Spain*; Jorge Tomasi – *CONICET, Instituto Interdisciplinario Tilcara, Argentina*; José Luis Baró Zarzo – *Universitat Politècnica de València, Spain*; José Manuel López Osorio – *Universidad de Málaga, Spain*; Juan Bravo Bravo – *Universitat Politècnica de València, Spain*; Juan María Songel González – *Universitat Politècnica de València, Spain*; Juana Font Arellano – *Fundación Antonio Font de Bedoya, PROTERRA, Spain*; Julieta Barada – *CONICET, Instituto Interdisciplinario Tilcara, Argentina*; Letizia Dipasquale – *Università di Firenze, Italy*; Lidia García Soriano – *Universitat Politècnica de València, Spain*; Luis Fernando Guerrero Baca – *Universidad Metropolitana Autónoma, Mexico*; Luisa Basset Salóm – *Universitat Politècnica de València, Spain*; Maddalena Achenza – *Università di Cagliari, ICOMOS-ISCEAH, Italy*; Marcel Vellinga – *Oxford Brookes University, ICOMOS-CIAV, United Kingdom*; María Concepción López González – *Universitat Politècnica de València, Spain*; Maria Ines Subercaseaux – *Metropolitana de Santiago, ICOMOS-CIAV, Chile*; María José Viñals Blasco – *Universitat Politècnica de València, Spain*; María Lidón de Miguel – *Universitat Politècnica de València, Spain*; Mariana Correia – *Escola Superior Gallaecia, Vila Nova Cerveira, Portugal*; Marina Elia – *Universitat Politècnica de València, Spain*; Marwa Dabaieh – *Lund University, Lund, ICOMOS-CIAV, Sweden*; Matilde Caruso – *Universitat Politècnica de València, Spain*; Mikel Landa Esparza – *Arquitecto, ICOMOS-IIWC, Spain*; Min Hall – *architect, Unitec Institute of Technology, Auckland, Nueva Zelanda*; Mónica Luengo Añón – *Arquitecto paisajista, ICOMOS-IFLA, Spain*; Naima Benkari – *Sultan Qaboos University, Omán*; Natalia Jorquera – *Universidad de Chile, Santiago, Chile*; Ona Vileikis Tamayo – *University Collage London, Reino Unido*; Pamela Jerome – *Columbia University, ICOMOS-ISCEAH, United States*; Pablo Rodríguez Navarro – *Universitat Politècnica de València, Spain*; Paolo Vitti – *University of Notre Dame, United States*; Pasquale De Dato – *Universitat Politècnica de València, Spain*; Paulo B. Lourenço – *Universidade do Minho, ICOMOS-ISCARSAH, Portugal*; Pere Roca Fabregat – *Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya, ICOMOS-ISCARSAH, Spain*; Plácido González Martínez – *Tongji University Shanghai, China*; Rawiwan Oranratmanee – *Chiang Mai University, Thailand*; Renata Picone – *Università Federico II di Napoli, Italy*; Saverio Mecca – *Università di Firenze, Italy*; Sébastien Moriset – *CRATERRE-ENSAG, France*; Sergio Manzano – *Universitat Politècnica de València, Spain*; Sergio Ortín Molina – *Universitat Politècnica de València, Spain*; Shao Yong – *Tongji University Shanghai, China*; Simone Ricca – *WHITRAP, Shanghai, China*; Stefan Balici – *Ion Mincu University, Romania*; Stefania Farina – *Universitat Politècnica de València, Spain*; Teresa Gil Piqueras – *Universitat Politècnica de València, Spain*; Thierry Joffroy – *CRATERRE-ENSAG, France*; Valentina Cristini – *Universitat Politècnica de València, Spain*; Valentina Russo – *Università Federico II di Napoli, Italy*; Valeria Prieto – *Arquitecta, ICOMOS-CIAV, Mexico*; Vincenzina La Spina – *Universidad Politècnica de Cartagena, Spain*; Yolanda Hernández Navarro – *Universitat Politècnica de València, Spain*; Wenhao Ji – *China Academy of Art, Hangzhou*; Youcef Chennaoui – *École Polytechnique d'Architecture et d'Urbanisme d'Alger, Algeria*; Zuzana Syrová – *National Heritage Institute, Czech Republic*.

COLLABORATION IN THE PUBLICATION

Marina Elia (coordinator)

Stefania Farina

Lidia García Soriano

María Lidón De Miguel

Alicia Hueto Escobar

Sergio Manzano Fernández

Francesca Trizio

Matilde Caruso

David Eduardo Morocho-Jaramillo

Eva Tortajada Montalva

Conference support

ORGANIZED BY



UNIVERSITAT
POLITÈCNICA
DE VALÈNCIA



UNIVERSIDADE PORTUCALENSE
DEPARTAMENTO ARQUITETURA E MULTIMÉDIA GALLAECIA



UNIVERSITÀ
DEGLI STUDI
FIRENZE



UNIVERSITÀ
DEGLI STUDI
DI CAGLIARI



CRATERRE



Centro de Investigación
Arquitectura, Patrimonio y Gestión
para el desarrollo Sostenible



DEPARTAMENTO DE
COMPOSICIÓN ARQUITECTÓNICA

CO-FUNDED BY



Co-funded by the
Creative Europe Programme
of the European Union



UNDER THE AEGIS OF



ICOMOS CIAP
International Committee
on Traditional Architecture
International Council on
Monuments and Sites



International Committee on Intangible Cultural Heritage



ICOMOS - ISCEAH
International Scientific Committee
on European Architectural Heritage

Table of contents

Preface.....	I
Organization and Committees.....	IV
Conference Support.....	VIII

PLENARY LECTURES

A Vision for CIAV. Addressing the challenges facing the ICOMOS International Scientific Committee on Vernacular Architecture	3
<i>H. Mahdy</i>	
The National Plan for Traditional Architecture as a safeguarding tool. Action programmes and projects	11
<i>M. P. Timón Tiemblo, E. Agromayor Navarrete</i>	

VERNACULAR ARCHITECTURE: MATTER, CULTURE AND SUSTAINABILITY

STUDY AND CATALOGING OF VERNACULAR ARCHITECTURE

The standardisation of vernacular architecture. Wine buildings in Andalusia	23
<i>J. Aladro-Prieto, F. J. Ostos-Prieto, M. Murillo-Romero</i>	
Vernacular architecture in Brazilian semiarid region: survey and memory in the state of Sergipe	31
<i>D. Felix Andrade, M. A. Penido de Rezende, S. Araújo Lima Bessa</i>	
Knowledge and conservation of Mediterranean spontaneous architecture: some of the villages of the northern Tyrrhenian coast of Calabria.....	39
<i>B. Canonaco, F. Bilotta</i>	
Architectural and constructive characteristics of vernacular settlements in southern Italy: the Esaro's valley and the popular identity of some exemplary cases.....	47
<i>B. Canonaco, F. Castiglione</i>	
Spanish traditional architecture abandonment and destruction: an initial analysis of social risks, phenomena, and effects in earthen architecture.....	55
<i>M. Caruso, C. Mileto, F. Vegas, V. Cristini</i>	
A taxonomy of vernacular heritage in the mid-Adriatic: Landscape relations and architectural characteristics of the farmhouses in Tronto Valley (Italy).....	63
<i>S. Cipolletti</i>	
Traditional houses in the South-Western Iberian Peninsula: Themes for a cross-border comparative typological study	71
<i>A. Costa Rosado, V. Gómez Martínez, M. Reimão Costa, M. T. Pérez Cano</i>	

The Hameau de la Reine at Versailles and the reproduction of vernacular architecture.....	79
<i>D. Crispino</i>	
Vernacular architecture of the Amalfi coast: a medieval domus in Villa Rufolo in Ravello (Italy)	87
<i>E. De Feo</i>	
Architectural survey, realized with integrated methodology, of the complex of Walser houses in Alagna Valsesia, Italy	95
<i>A. Di Paola, S. Vecchio, G. Frosini, B. Verona, S. Garuglieri</i>	
Modern attitudes towards vernacular architecture. Works by the Italians Luigi Angelini, Alberto Alpago Novello, Ottavio Cabiati, Alessandro Minali	103
<i>M. M. Grisoni</i>	
Wind and the villages in Rincón de Ademuz, Spain	111
<i>W. Ji, C. Mileto, F. Vegas</i>	
Vernacular features in eclectic architecture from the tropics. An analysis by means of architectural survey	119
<i>M. Leserri, G. Rossi, M. Chaverra Suarez, S. Gómez Mejía</i>	
Configuring, building and inhabiting the house from a gender perspective	125
<i>M. Lidón de Miguel, C. Mileto, F. Vegas, A. Hueto-Escobar</i>	
Rediscovering tradition through representation: the vaulted house of the Amalfi Coast.....	133
<i>B. Messina, S. Morena, C. Ferreyra</i>	
Traditional dwellings and techniques of the First Indigenous Peoples of South Africa in the Eastern Cape.....	141
<i>M. Minguzzi, Y. Hernández Navarro, L. Vosloo</i>	
Rediscovered earth heritage becomes motor for local change The Guérande Peninsula (France)	149
<i>M. Miranda Santos, A. Hilton, P. Poullain, E. Hamard, C. Mouraud</i>	
Tradition and semantics: the case of Aeolian architecture	157
<i>S. Mollica</i>	
The Italian case of Leopoldine in Tuscany: methods and issues for the cataloguing of rural building heritage	165
<i>I. Nocerino</i>	
Highlighting the Heritage of Meseta Ibérica.....	173
<i>J. Pinto, A. Paiva, D. Almeida, S. Pereira, A. Antunes, R. Bento</i>	
A heritage to reveal and protect. Historical water-based paper mills and ironworks in Campania (Italy)	181
<i>S. Pollone</i>	
Architecture and Proto Industry. Watermills in the historic peri-urban landscape of Benevento (Italy).....	189
<i>L. Romano</i>	

An architectural catalogue for the study of traditional building features from their seismic behaviour in the 2016 Central Italy earthquake	197
<i>L. Sbrogiò, Y. Saretta, M. R. Valluzzi</i>	
Earthen vernacular architecture in flood-prone areas: characteristics and typologies in the Ebro basin.....	205
<i>F. Trizio, F.J. Torrijo Echarri, C. Mileto, F. Vegas</i>	
New studies for the knowledge of the vernacular characters of the ancient water mills in central Sicily	213
<i>A. Versaci, A. Cardaci, L. R. Fauzià, M. Russo</i>	
Identification and safeguarding of Central Sicily's forgotten vernacular heritage: elements of identity and memory	221
<i>A. Versaci, A. Cardaci</i>	
The particular ensemble of Mas d'en Segures: Functional and constructive analysis of a house and a barn in Tinença de Benifassà (Castellón, Spain).....	229
<i>J. Villasante Claramonte</i>	
In the shadow of Vesuvius. Sustainable and bioclimatic lessons from a vernacular heritage	237
<i>E. Vitagliano</i>	

URBAN STUDIES OF VERNACULAR ARCHITECTURE

The rural founding villages of the Italian Agrarian Reform in Basilicata (1950-1970): urban planning and 'modern' vernacular architecture to the test of contemporaneity. The case of Borgo Taccone (MT)	247
<i>C. Achille, S. Bortolotto, E. Ciocchini, M. C. Palo</i>	
Vernacular architecture and written sources: the case study of the Tronto Valley	255
<i>E. Facchi, A. Grimoldi, A. G. Landi</i>	
Urban vernacular architecture in the Middle Ages in Galicia, Spain.....	263
<i>A. Fernández Palicio</i>	
Binibeca Vell. Interpreting tradition	271
<i>J. J. Ferrer Forés</i>	
Mapping spatial social aspects of urban recovery in contested cities: a case of the historic commercial center of the ancient city of Aleppo	279
<i>S. Ibrahim</i>	
Contributions of the vernacular heritage in the current city. Case study: Santo Domingo Neighborhood, Tuxtla Gutiérrez, Chiapas, Mexico	287
<i>A. Parra Zebadúa, M. Genís Vinyals, L. Ocampo García, R. Villers Aispuro, M. A. Zenteno Hernández, L. F. Escamiroso Montalvo, S. N. Zebadúa Velasco</i>	
The town of Collodi: the vernacular heritage.....	293
<i>F. Pisani</i>	

Between landscape and fortified architecture: traces and memory of rural civilization in the territory of Pesche in Molise	301
<i>M. P. Testa</i>	

Light Touch on the land – continued conversations about architectural change, informality and sustainability.....	309
<i>D. Whelan</i>	

STUDIES OF TRADITIONAL TECHNIQUES AND MATERIALS

The stone as constant presence: vernacular structure of the cultural heritage of Porcuna (Andalusia, Spain).....	319
<i>S. Belmondo, P. Millán Millán</i>	

From natural to artificial: vernacular housing in the Spanish Caribbean	327
<i>B. del Cueto</i>	

Designing with water for climate change adaptation and cultural heritage preservation.....	335
<i>A. Elnokaly, W. Pittungnapoo</i>	

La Vera's vernacular architecture. Structural design and climate protection in timber frame wall houses using constructive systems and local materials	341
<i>E. Franco Rodríguez, M. Bujalance</i>	

Traditional buildings for tobacco processing in Val Tiberina (Tuscany-Italy)	349
<i>F. Fratini, S. Rescic, M. Camaiti, M. Mattone</i>	

The parish church of San Michele Arcangelo in Metelliano: the path of knowledge of a vernacular architecture	357
<i>G. Ghelfi</i>	

Indoor air quality for sustainability, occupational health and classroom environments through the application of earth plaster	363
<i>M. I. Gomes, T. Miranda</i>	

The importance of water in traditional gypsum works.....	369
<i>B. González-Sánchez, W. Salazar Chuquimarca, J. R. Rosell Amigó, A. Navarro Ezquerra</i>	

State of conservation of half-timbered walls in Burgos (Spain): Quantitative analysis of material and structural degradation.....	377
<i>A. Hueto-Escobar, F. Vegas, C. Mileto, M. Lidón de Miguel</i>	

Adobe Constructions – Colonial Chilean House.....	385
<i>M. G. Jofré Troncoso</i>	

Favignana bio-calcarene: technological culture, knowledge and recovery.....	393
<i>A. Mamì, E. Caleca, E. Nicolini</i>	

Examination of earthen construction in archaeological sites of the Iberian Peninsula for risk analysis	401
<i>S. Manzano Fernández, C. Mileto, F. Vegas, V. Cristini</i>	

Traditional mortars with chukum in Yucatan, Mexico, as biocultural heritage	409
<i>M. M. Martínez-Barreiro, L. F. Guerrero-Baca</i>	
Dry Stone Wall Relics as a Part of Cultural Landscapes: A Case Study from the Foot of Mt. Hira Region in Japan	417
<i>C. Ochiai, J. Wang</i>	
The paving of ancient paths, testimony of an ancient culture: recovery of a traditional route in Genoa (Liguria, Italy)	425
<i>D. Pittaluga, S. Rescic, F. Fratini</i>	
Constructive and earthquake-resistant aspects of modelled-earth, a technique in ancient Peru	433
<i>H. E. Torres Peceros</i>	
Research on technique “Banzhu” used in traditional dwellings in China from the perspective of formwork	441
<i>Q. Zhou</i>	
<i>SUSTAINABILITY OF VERNACULAR ARCHITECTURE</i>	
Traditional Bukharian Houses and Mahallas: a shared vernacular heritage at risk.....	451
<i>N. Aituganova, O. Vileikis, S. Babaev, J. Ors Ausín</i>	
A look on the intrinsic sustainability of Aeolian vernacular architecture	459
<i>R. Caponetto, G. Giuffrida</i>	
The Z Free Home – inspired by vernacular architecture	467
<i>M. Dabaieh</i>	
Proposals for the sustainable recovery of dry stone buildings in Puglia, Italy.....	475
<i>S. Farina</i>	
Casa Nautilus Solar – Organic contemporary Architecture based on Vernacular Heritage	483
<i>P. Jebens-Zirkel Imm, A. J. Zirkel Zirkel</i>	
Making our Rural Landscape visible. A way to defend Anonymous Cultural Heritage.....	491
<i>A. Martínez Duran, M. Villaverde Rey</i>	
Shuar architecture as a model of sustainability.....	499
<i>D. E. Morocho-Jaramillo</i>	
Dry stone architecture: the survey as a tool to safeguard the risk of morphological or formal homology	507
<i>G. Rossi, M. Leserri, A. Benitez Calle</i>	
At the roots of sustainability: Mediterranean vernacular architecture	513
<i>S. Talenti, A. Teodosio</i>	
Lessons from the past, architecture for the future. Coupling historic preservation with sustainable architecture	521
<i>P. Vitti</i>	

HERITAGE EDUCATION

RESEARCH IN HERITAGE EDUCATION

Community School Museums as a tool for education.....	537
<i>P. Alonso-Monasterio, L. Uixer Cotano</i>	
The interpretation of the vernacular in the modern work of Gherardo Bosio: the Albanian experience.....	545
<i>C. Castagnaro</i>	
“For sale: empty Spain” Raising awareness on abandoned buildings and depopulated villages	553
<i>V. Cristini, J. L. Baró Zarzo, C. Mileto, F. Vegas, M. Caruso, E. Tortajada Montalva</i>	
Qualitative, historical, spatial, stylistic, and social assessment of heritage buildings in Arequipa for Cultural Heritage teaching in Schools of Architecture	559
<i>T. B. Medina-Sánchez, D. L. Mayta-Ponce, D. Málaga-Montoya, S. Coll-Pla, F. A. Cuzziramos-Gutiérrez, A. Costa Jover</i>	
Vernacular architecture and art. The representation of traditional buildings in Lorenzo Ghiberti's Gates of Paradise in the Baptistry of Florence.....	567
<i>A. Merlo, G. Lavoratti</i>	
Defensive architecture and heritage education: analysis of the National Park Service and Parks Canada actions	575
<i>J. A. Mira Rico</i>	

HERITAGE EDUCATION AND SOCIAL INCLUSION

<i>Gibellina and the identity of community. Brandi, Burri and the conservation of the 'ruins'</i>	585
<i>C. Accetta</i>	
The perceptive experience of the heritage landscape.....	593
<i>A. Barranco Donderis</i>	
The Role of University in Local Cultural Development Through Vernacular Architectural Conservation Education: The Case of Havran, Turkey.....	599
<i>D. U. Binan, H. İ. Alatlı</i>	
The role of cultural heritage in urban reuse	607
<i>M. Domènech Rodríguez, D. López López, C. Cornadó Bardón</i>	
Involving society in the enhancement of old city centres	615
<i>A. Guardiola-Villora, L. Basset-Salom</i>	
3D Heritage as a catalyst for social participation in safeguarding cities in conflict. A Case study of Damascus in Syria	623
<i>S. Ibrahim</i>	

Heritage education as an effective approach to enhance community engagement: a model for classifying the level of engagement	631
<i>T. W. Lao</i>	

Preservation and promotion of the cultural heritage through University, public administration, and community engagement.....	639
<i>M. Mattone, N. Frullo</i>	

‘Acupuncture of Awareness’: a possible path for vernacular heritage preservation.....	647
<i>L. Rossato</i>	

HERITAGE COMMUNITIES

Overlooked heritage of Albania: chronicle of rescue, conservation and community involvement at Great Prespa Lake	657
<i>V. Cristini, B. Ludwig</i>	

The appropriation of traditional houses in Imbros/Gökçeada	663
<i>A. Dinççağ Kahveci</i>	

The SDGs as a useful tool in vernacular architecture management: The case of “17 objectives and a map”	671
<i>A. López Sabater, V. García López de Andújar, X. Laumain</i>	

An Odyssey to Heritage Education: The Inspiring Example of Bergama and Its Communities	679
<i>D. Ulusoy Binan, G. G. Okay</i>	

The role of heritage communities in local development processes through the reuse of architectural heritage. Some examples in italian rural areas	687
<i>C. Valiante, A. M. Oteri</i>	

CREATIVITY AND HERITAGE EDUCATION

Strategies for the recognition and the enhancement of the cultural heritage in Sant'Antioco	697
<i>M. Achenza, I. Blečić, L. Dipasquale, S. Mecca, A. Merlo</i>	

A collaborative Web App to foster a knowledge network on vernacular heritage, craftspeople, and sustainability	703
<i>J. Ammendola, L. Dipasquale, E. P. Ferrari, S. Mecca, L. Montoni, M. Zambelli</i>	

Cultural heritage: educating the next generation. Case study analysis of the Center of Preservation Research	711
<i>E. Vlahos</i>	

ARTISANS AND CRAFTS OF TRADITIONAL CONSTRUCTION

INTANGIBLE HERITAGE: THE MANAGEMENT OF KNOW-HOW AND LOCAL CONSTRUCTION CULTURE

The towns of the Popocateptl Volcano. Territorial symbolism, cultural identity and vernacular architecture	721
<i>B. Aguilar Prieto</i>	

Methodology for mapping Intangible Cultural Heritage through webGIS integral platforms. La Fontanalla neighbourhood as a case study	729
<i>F. Conejo-Arrabal, F. J. Chamizo-Nieto, N. Nebot-Gómez de Salazar, C. Rosa-Jiménez</i>	

The struggle for Stone-dry walling: the ambition to protect both processes and products	737
<i>M. M. Grisoni</i>	

From intangible to tangible. Artisan Skills and Traditional Crafts for Preserving Venice's Built Heritage	745
<i>A. Squassina</i>	

TRADITION AND INNOVATION IN TRADITIONAL CONSTRUCTION CRAFTS

The Craft of Stucco Mihrab carving in Oman in the 13th to 17th AD.....	755
<i>N. Benkari</i>	

From prototypes to monotypes. Neo-craftsmanship in architecture and design	763
<i>J. Bravo Bravo</i>	

PLANS AND EXPERIENCES FOR THE RECOVERY AND MAINTENANCE OF CONSTRUCTION CRAFTS

Vernacular architecture and seismic risk. The case of Mugello in Tuscany	773
<i>P. Bordini</i>	

Pinnettas de pedra: a guide for the valorisation of dry-stone artifacts	781
<i>S. N. Cappai, A. V. Sotgiu</i>	

Vernacular architecture and traditional trades. Social innovation and cultural heritage in rural Andalusia.....	789
<i>G. Carrera Díaz, B. Del Espino Hidalgo, A. Delgado Méndez</i>	

The role of craftsmanship in the conservation of Venice. State of the art and perspective.....	797
<i>F. Trovò, E. Vettore</i>	

CONSERVATION, RESTORATION AND ENHANCEMENT OF VERNACULAR ARCHITECTURE

CONSERVATION AND RESTORATION PROJECTS OF VERNACULAR ARCHITECTURE

Is there a future for marginal communities?	807
<i>M. Bocci</i>	

Restoration of the stained glass windows of the British Cemetery of Valencia	815
<i>C. Burguete Gil</i>	

Studies and projects for the archaeological park of the Nuraghe s'Urachi (Sardinia, Italy). From knowledge for heritage conservation to project for the community	823
<i>G. M. Chiri, F. Novelli</i>	

Vernacular heritage protection by the Superintendence of the Aosta Valley	831
<i>C. De La Pierre, D. Martinet, B. Scala</i>	

Of earth, stone and wood: the restoration and conservation of a Buddhist temple in Ladakh, Indian Himalayas.....	839
<i>E. P. Ferrari</i>	
The <i>hórreos</i> in Riaño Mountain, León, Spain. Vernacular architecture between conservation and musealisation.....	847
<i>M. P. García Cuetos</i>	
Restoration project of vernacular architecture affected for ground subsidence: A case study in Juslibol Church (Zaragoza, Spain)	855
<i>A. Gracia, F. J. Torrijo, M. A. Pérez</i>	
Farmhouse interior restoration in bioconstruction	863
<i>V. Li-Puma Sforazzini</i>	
After the earthquake. Design processes for intervention on vernacular heritage in Central Italy.....	871
<i>G. Loffredo, F. Recla, N. Suraci, C. Tosco</i>	
Implementing the lesson of early 20th century traditional buildings for a real sustainability. The examples of Corviale (Rome) and ZEN (Palermo) districts	879
<i>E. M. Mazzola</i>	
From rural house to “villa of delights”: knowledge and conservation of Villa Murat in the Sorrento peninsula.....	889
<i>A. Pane, R. Catuogno, M. Parente</i>	
Vernacular earthen architecture. Construction techniques and restoration. From the international setting to some specific Italian regional cases	897
<i>E. Petrucci, R. Mancini, M. G. Putzu</i>	
Rigour, methodology and use, success in heritage conservation: the tower of the St. Mary Magdalene’s church.....	905
<i>P. Rodríguez Cantalapiedra</i>	
Strategies to value the dispersed heritage of rural Andalusia. Lagares, paseros and vineyards: the architecture of the raisin	913
<i>L. Royo Naranjo</i>	
Guidelines for the conservation of the ancient hydraulic mills of the Valle Sabbia, Brescia (Italy).....	921
<i>B. Scala, L. Aliverti</i>	
Bazaars between documentation and conservation. Case studies in Albania and Macedonia	929
<i>A. Trematerra, E. Mirra</i>	
Perspectives for the small historical centres at risk of abandonment. A pilot project for the Granfonte district in Leonforte (Italy).....	937
<i>M. R. Vitale, C. Circo, D. Sanzaro, S. Sebastián Franco, I. Cacciatore, M. Massimino</i>	
Repair grants for historic farm buildings in Dartmoor National Park.....	945
<i>N. White</i>	

MATERIALS AND INTERVENTION TECHNIQUES FOR VERNACULAR ARCHITECTURE

Syrian earthen villages: recovery of construction crafts to revive dome houses.....	955
<i>H. Asslan</i>	
Historic tuff masonry in Naples: different approaches to its conservation	963
<i>B. Balbi, R. Bosso, G. Russo Krauss</i>	
Vernacular architecture on archaeological remains. Conservation and enhancement of the “Villa San Limato” in Cellole	971
<i>L. Cappelli</i>	
Conservation and restoration of timber architecture in the Czech Republic.....	979
<i>M. Cernansky</i>	
Effects of the use of plant mucilage on the physico-mechanical properties of raw earth structures	987
<i>O. M. Medina Lorente, B. Carrascosa Moliner, L. Osete Cortina</i>	
Vernacular architecture and archaeological remains. Direct links in the Phlegraean Fields in Campania (Italy).....	995
<i>R. Picone</i>	

DIFFICULTIES AND POSSIBILITIES OF USING TRADITIONAL CRAFTS IN CONSERVATION

Impediments to Sustenance and Revival of Vernacular Architecture in Rural Madhya Pradesh, India.....	1005
<i>A. Tamhankar, V. Gupta</i>	

MANAGEMENT AND MAINTENANCE OF VERNACULAR ARCHITECTURE

Ghadames, Libya. A traditional earthen settlement, resilient to crises and environmental challenges.....	1015
<i>S. Abdulac</i>	
Architectural Heritage and seismic vulnerability: mapping the available knowledge to reduce damage during an emergency	1023
<i>E. Brusa, C. Chesi, S. Della Torre</i>	
Analysis and regeneration strategies for the abandoned villages of the Santerno valley in Tuscany	1031
<i>M. Coppola, L. Dipasquale, L. Mannucci, L. Rovero</i>	
Learning from the past. The loss of vernacular heritage in the interest of hydropower development in Spain.....	1039
<i>N. Fernández García</i>	
Post seismic intervention strategies over the last fifty years in Italy (1968 – 2016). Initial observations about the vernacular architecture’s conservation	1047
<i>V. Macca</i>	

Close to the volcan. Knowledge, conservation and enhancement of a Vesuvian vernacular heritage	1055
<i>B. G. Marino, A. Ragosta</i>	
Heritage and community centre in Matta Sur, Chile	1063
<i>A. Rivera Vidal, C. Gómez Maestro</i>	
Local materials and traditions in the conservation of vernacular buildings	1071
<i>C. Rodrigues</i>	
Vernacular earthen architectures. Institutionalisation and management models for its conservation in northern Argentina.....	1077
<i>J. Tomasi, J. Barada</i>	
Protection and reuse of a forgotten heritage: the Parmesan cheese buildings. Notes for a widespread museum in the lower Reggio Emilia plain	1085
<i>S. Varvaro</i>	

AUTHORS INDEX

Vernacular earthen architecture. Construction techniques and restoration. From the international setting to some specific Italian regional cases

Enrica Petrucci¹, Rossana Mancini², Maria Giovanna Putzu³

¹ University of Camerino, Ascoli Piceno, Italy, enrica.petrucci@unicam.it; ² Sapienza University of Rome, Italy, rossana.mancini@uniroma1.it; ³ University of Camerino, Ascoli Piceno, Italy, mariagiovanna.putzu@unicam.it

Topic: T4.1. Conservation and restoration projects of vernacular architecture

Abstract

The research focuses on vernacular architecture, in particular earth buildings, highlighting the different geographic areas involved, building types and construction techniques. In Italy, despite theoretical progress, some cultural and technical problems are still evident in earth architecture conservation. This is also due to the prevalence, among earth buildings, of vernacular architecture, that, in general, has no artistic value and with historical value yet to be fully appreciated. The characteristics of some regional areas are also considered, with particular attention to the Marche and Sardinia Regions, where earthen constructions have existed since ancient times. Knowledge of construction techniques that are the result of age-old experience is the basis for a good conservation and for the design of new ones. Today, some public administrations, on the regional and local levels, have developed operating manuals for the conservation of earth constructions, but there is still no real legislative protection for earth buildings and their material authenticity.

Keywords: vernacular architecture; earth building; conservation; sustainability.

1. Introduction

The issue of earth building is becoming increasingly urgent within the discipline of architectural restoration, both due to the perishability of the material when it is not properly maintained, and because conservation efforts either result in the simple reconstruction of entire portions of buildings or are transformed into operations that completely alter their structure. Within an international framework of reference, the intention is to update the state of Italian reflection on the subject through the review of the existing literature, with reference to Sardinia and Marche Region.

2. The international landscape

For several years, scant durability in the absence of maintenance has brought earthen constructions to the centre of reflections, in an international setting, within the discipline of restoration. The specialist literature on the subject has consisted mainly of frequent international conferences, which have the merit of regularly assessing the current state of thought. ICOMOS spearheaded these meetings, organizing two important international conferences in Yazd, Iran, in 1972 and 1976, aimed at defining and sharing the value of earth architectures. These were followed by a host of

others: in Turkey (1980), Perù (1983), Italy (1987), the United States (1990), Portugal (1993), the United Kingdom (2000), Iran (2003), Mali (2008), Peru (2012), and in France (2016). TERRA 2022, to be held in the United States (Santa Fe) is already being planned, to be followed by TERRA 2024 in Ecuador (Cuenca). In 2021, a collaboration between the National Research Council of Italy (CNR) and the Chinese Academy of Cultural Heritage (CACH) saw the publication of the results of certain studies carried out on earthen constructions and on the different possibilities for conserving them, in various parts of the world¹. In general, reflections on the conservation of buildings of this kind vary according to the geographic location where they are made. While there is great interest in the decay owing to weathering, in the more seismically active areas attention focuses mainly on low resistance to earthquakes and on experimenting with possible interventions to improve their structural response². Recently, interest in these constructions has also related to their eco-sustainability.

2.1 Earthquake resistance

The 1998 European Macroseismic Scale lists adobe structures among those most vulnerable to earthquakes. Walls made in *pisé* are generally more resistant than those in *adobe* (by up to 40%). The parameters that influence the seismic vulnerability of *adobe* are the granulometry of the earth used, the moisture content, the level of compaction, the use of natural additives, and the

treatment of the joints (which is to say the introduction of materials other than earth between the layers)³. On the seismic vulnerability of *adobe* structures, the studies begun in California in 1990 by the Getty Foundation with the *Getty Seismic Adobe Project* (GSAP) remain fundamental⁴. The GSAP's final report noted the inadequacy of the consolidation techniques used to that time, since they were the cause of irreparable damage unacceptable in buildings of historic and artistic value. In some of the interventions studied by the GSAP, the central part of earthen walls had been replaced with elements in reinforced concrete⁵, or cages of beams and pillars in reinforced concrete had been employed⁶. The objective of the research was to find reinforcement techniques to keep the walls from toppling during a quake. The indicated solution was the use of slabs, whose beams function as chains if appropriately connected to the exterior walls as in common masonry buildings. Researchers also verified the effects of introducing vertical steel bars in the walls, steel tie rods in the masonry units, and nylon straps applied horizontally and vertically to increase the connection between the partitions, thereby improving the structures' monolithic behaviour. The various systems have yielded good results and can be employed on a "case-by-case" basis depending on whether the intention is to preserve the integrity of the surfaces and of the exterior decorations (internal bars) or to facilitate the reversibility of the intervention (straps)⁷. Reinforcement techniques using natural,

Rossana Mancini wrote paragraph 2, Maria Giovanna Putzu paragraphs 3 and 5, and Enrica Petrucci paragraph 4.

¹ The project was financed by the National Research Council of Italy (CNR) and the Chinese Academy of Cultural Heritage (CACH); Luvidi, Fratini, Rescic, Zhang 2021.

² Meli, Hernandez, Padilla 1980.

³ The available literature on the seismic resistance of cob (rammed earth) constructions is quite limited. Interesting results are in Bu, Wang, Han, Li 2011.

⁴ The *Getty Seismic Adobe Project* was discussed for the first time at the Sixth International Conference on Earthen Architecture, *Adobe 90* (Oct. 1990 a Las Cruces - New Mexico), after the Loma Prieta earthquake (1989) in California, which destroyed many historic buildings in *adobe* (Tolles, Kimbro, Webster, Ginell 2000).

⁵ An example of this kind of intervention are the Sonoma Barracks in Sonoma State Historic Park, California.

⁶ The Plaza Hotel in San Juan Bautista State Historic Park, and the Cooper-Molera Adobe in Monterey, both in California.

⁷ This kind of reinforcement is appreciated for its ability to go into action only if necessary, to avoid stiffening the structure, and to guarantee structural continuity between the walls and the partitions and the bearing walls' containment. Interest in these studies is still lively and references to the obtained results are found even in the most recent international meetings (Webster 2016). A system of traditional consolidation, carried out during the building's construction phase, was discovered in Bam, in southeast Iran, after the 2003 earthquake. This involved supporting the roofs, to keep them from collapsing, not only with exterior walls, but also with wooden columns placed in the thickness of the wall sections.

environmentally friendly materials have been tested in Peru since 1972, after the long series of earthquakes coming in succession since 1940⁸. It has been shown, for example, that the insertion of bamboo canes into the adobe wall sections was able to increase their deformation capacity. Between 1990 and 2000, the Regional Centre of Seismology for South America (CERESIS), the German Agency for International Development (GTZ) and the Pontifical Catholic University of Lima (PUCP) tested the use of natural fibre ropes, wood, and steel mesh in the critical points of the constructions. In 2004, a joint project between the Pontifical Catholic University of Lima and the Getty Conservation Institute assessed the effects of external reinforcements made using natural and industrial mesh on both sides of the adobe walls, demonstrating that, in the event of severe earthquakes, flexible materials offer better performance than stiff ones.

2.2 Resistance to weathering

Earth architecture requires regular maintenance in order to keep the external protection systems (plaster and roofs) efficient. Therefore, preventive conservation is highly important in order to avoid the continuous renewal of these external elements, and the consequent loss of the building's authenticity. The constant reconstruction activity has shifted attention from conserving the work to safeguarding traditional techniques, so as to restore "in keeping with tradition"⁹. This is theorized, for example, in Central America, by the *Chilean LSC Atlas project*, which offers a critical vision of the use of non-local techniques and of industrial materials in "vernacular" architecture, for the purpose of conserving the original construction logic more than the authenticity of the material¹⁰. Meanwhile, a positive evolution from reconstruction/recovery to conservation and protection is found in the Chan Chan site on the

northern coast of Peru. Between 1964 and 1969, major reconstructions were done, largely oriented towards formal recovery; however, at a second moment, work began to stabilize the existing structures by creating large wear surfaces. Some wall tops were covered by capping made using a clay mortar with the addition of an acrylic emulsion and other substances like wood glue, but the results were poor, due likely to the high rate of humidity at the site. Better results were attained using ethyl silicate¹¹. A new phase of interventions was embarked on after 1998, following the atmospheric phenomenon known as *El Niño*, when prevention efforts were carried out by screening the ancient walls with bamboo and thatch barriers to protect them from the weather. Plant fencing has also been proposed in some sites in Saudi Arabia to defend the remains from sandstorms¹². Only in some rare cases have restoration materials been made distinguishable from the pre-existing elements. The cases that have been tried out include the insertion, between the surface wear layers and the original wall, of layers of geotextile material, or of earth and small coloured beads.

3. The national situation

In Italy, earth construction tradition is very ancient, as witnessed by numerous archaeological finds and by classical sources that describe the employed techniques with an abundance of detail. The use of earth has thus gone on since antiquity, with variations and alternations, but always with continuity over the course of the centuries, until the early 1950s. In Italy, like other European countries, earthen buildings are present, with different intended uses and different typological characteristics (house, small building, villa, school, church, etc.), in both rural and urban settings. An examination of the data provided by earlier

⁸ Vargas-Neumann, Otazzi 1986.

⁹ Bartolomucci 2013.

¹⁰ Suilan Hau Espinosa, Jarpa 2016.

¹¹ On the use of ethyl silicates to consolidate *adobe* structures in the late 1980s, see Chiari 1988. On the Chan Chan site, see Morales Gamarra 1983.

¹² Mancini, Putzu 2019, p. 735.

studies¹³ shows that all Italian regions, with the exception (based on the knowledge acquired to date) of Valle d'Aosta, traditionally saw the use of earth construction techniques, with technical and formal solutions often differing from one another¹⁴. Moreover, as in the other non-Italian settings affected by the phenomenon, there is a strong link between the presence of large river or lake areas, which promote the deposit of clays, and the consolidation of an earth construction tradition (Po plain; subcoastal zones of Marche and Abruzzo; area of the Agri and Sinni River basin in Basilicata; Tirso valley in Sardinia)¹⁵. It is also noted that beyond the presence of clay in the subsoil, a fundamental role in spreading earth constructions is also played by climate conditions (temperature, rainfall, latitude and elevation) that condition appearance and persistence. Although Italy is home to all the construction techniques typical of the vernacular tradition of other European countries, particularly widespread among those involving the use of earth are *adobe*, *pisé*, and, to a lesser degree, *torchis*. In spite of the process of neglect that began in the second post-War period, and although, until the second half of the twentieth century, quite little was known about earth construction techniques and there was a widely-held conviction that they had fallen entirely into disuse, some studies have actually demonstrated that in the 1960s, in certain regions of Italy, earth was still used following traditional procedures¹⁶. Starting from the 1980s, the new approach towards a way of building that presented features of sustainability and respect for the environment saw in earth construction all the technical characteristics that suit it for the building of healthy, pollution-free environments¹⁷.

Although the earth sector now has numerous results of international research and studies at its disposal, there is still no national-level legislation that recognizes earth as a construction material and that provides technical rules of reference to regulate and define the types of intervention suitable both for historic construction and for constructing parts or entire buildings *ex novo*¹⁸.

4. Earthen houses in the Marche

In the Marche region, the earth construction technique was used until the nineteenth century. As late as 1934, *Indagine sulle case rurali in Italia* found about 1,401 dwellings in the Marche built in earth and thatch using the technique called *a massone* or *maltone*. In Pesaro, 14 houses were censused, 95 houses in Ancona, 931 in Macerata, and 361 in Ascoli Piceno¹⁹. The first to raise doubts as to the truthfulness of these data was Clarice Santoponte Emiliani, who several years later was to perform her own fact-finding survey aimed exclusively at earth constructions, discovering a reality that was quite different²⁰. According to Santoponte, the number reported in the 1934 was to be considered erroneously low due to significant errors made in gathering information and due to the desire to conceal or at least to reduce the presence of these buildings.



Fig. 1. House in the Macerata area, in accordance with the typical construction technology, 2020.

¹³ Bertagnin 1999; Baldacci 1958; Lasalandra 2008; Manca, Cossu, Loche 2005; Mancini, Putzu 2019.

¹⁴ In particular, according to the data provided by CeDTerra (documentation centre on earthen houses; see also the rich bibliography provided there), on national territory, earthen houses, widespread above all in Sardinia and Abruzzo, are also present in Emilia Romagna, part of Veneto, Lombardy, Piedmont, Tuscany, Marche, Molise, Basilicata, and Calabria. Other construction types were noted for example in the Marengo plain (Alessandria), where we find buildings like the Pasturana church and the Spinetta Marengo school,

and in Quartu Sant'Elena (Cagliari), where there are numerous small urban buildings (Bertagnin 1999, pp. 24, 25).

¹⁵ Lasalandra 2008, p. 256; Galdieri 1987.

¹⁶ Lasalandra 2008, p. 258.

¹⁷ Bertagnin 1999, p. 285.

¹⁸ Currently, Law no. 378 of 24 December 2003, *Provisions for the protection and valorization of rural architecture*, is the only regulation that also relates, albeit marginally, to earth constructions, for which, for now, only proposed and draft laws have been developed.

¹⁹ Istituto centrale di statistica del Regno d'Italia 1934.

²⁰ Santoponte Emiliani 1941, pp. 245-258.

Santoponte's research shows that most of the earth constructions were distributed in hilly areas with concentrations exceeding 20% in the Macerata area. These were the houses of day labourers, poor people, those who made do to eke out a living in the peasant economy; during the post-War period, with the exodus from the countryside, these houses underwent dramatic phenomena of abandonment. In those that survived, their earthen nature was concealed as much as possible, almost as if it were a mark of poverty and infamy for those who continued living in them. The construction technique consisted of mashing a mixture of clay and straw to obtain a dense, plastic compound, which was then divided into clumps weighing 5-10 kilogrammes each, coarsely shaped into cylinders tapered at the ends, averaging 15 cm wide and 20-30 cm long. To make the construction, these *massoni* were laid in layers from 50 to 70 high and 40 to 80 cm wide, to form a monolithic wall structure. Each layer was allowed to dry for several days, during which the wall was trimmed and squared.



Fig. 2. The construction process involves the entire family, photograph of 1919 from a private archive

Particular attention was devoted to site selection, both to avoid the problem of humidity, and because suitable earth had to be available in the vicinity, since transporting the raw material from a distance was not cost-effective. Foundations were shallow if not absent altogether. Once the building's perimeter was established, the area was dug out to a depth of between 50 and 100 centimetres; the earth was then placed back in the hole in 30/40-centimetre layers, adding water and straw and mashing it to blend together, and then allowed to dry. Once ground level was reached,

the bearing walls began to be raised. The craftsman laid *massone* along the house's perimeter in one layer after the other, in alternating courses, or more rarely in herringbone courses inclined at 45°. Once a layer was completed, the craftsman crushed it down with his feet to fill in the cracks; he then smoothed it inside and out with his spade, sometimes working the earth with water again. As the layers progressed, the *massone* grew smaller, to allow them to be put them in place more easily; the walls thus tapered in, measuring about 80 cm at the base and 50 cm at the top. Given the nature of the material, the intersections of the walls represented the most delicate points in the construction; to solve the problem, the junctions between walls were often reinforced with horizontal connections of olive branches sunken into the structure. For two-storey houses, the floor slab was made at a height of about 220 centimetres, by anchoring poplar, elm, or oak beams to the wall, placed in parallel one metre apart, and topped by a second, denser, orthogonal frame of slats, upon which was placed a layer of mud-daubed reeds woven or twined together, which served as the base supporting the burnt brick floor. The same technique was used to build the roof, whose covering was made with bricks (*penci*) resting upon a mixture of soft earth atop a layer of woven reeds. The roof was rather overhanging – usually by more than 50 centimetres – to protect the walls near the ground. In general, only the north-exposed exterior wall was plastered; far more frequently, the use of plaster was limited to the outlines of doors and windows. For the base, the protection was made using burnt brick or stone, up to the height of about one metre. The analysis shows the great historic and cultural value of these constructions, as productive and life forms, that express the region's identity. Over the past decades, great interest in architectures of this kind has developed, thanks also to the numerous research efforts carried out by universities, the Region, and local institutions that have devoted themselves to studying the most significant

examples present in the Marche²¹. The analyses were brought together in a cataloguing data sheet, grouped into provincial settings in accordance with the density of earth construction.

4.1. A virtuous example. Recovery of the Village of Villa Ficana in Macerata

The heightened sensitivity to safeguarding this “minor” building heritage led, as in the case of the Ficana quarter in Macerata²², to identifying multidisciplinary procedures aimed at recovering earth construction.



Fig. 3. The village of Villa Finacana in Macerata, after the restoration interventions, 2022.

To prevent the abandonment of this construction technique, an articulated programme was initiated in 2000. In 2014, the Municipality of Macerata held a competition for submissions of design proposals for museum interventions and the recovery of the buildings. The *Recovery Guidelines* defined the field of possible interventions, in such a way as to represent a binding basis for future recovery work, and to harmoniously and sustainably reconcile possible changes with the conservation of all the historical and cultural values that the village possesses. The *Guidelines* provide a working tool able to guarantee a high qualitative standard for future designs; at the same time, intended uses deemed compatible with the typological and size characteristics of earthen houses were identified.

²¹ Architetture di terra nelle Marche 2005. The volume collects the results of a research work on the building techniques and conservation methods of earth architecture constructions.

²² The Village is in the northern zone of Macerata. Its origins date to about the nineteenth century, as witnessed by

In recent years, this allowed the village to be restored to its original configuration, while also fostering the creation of a “museum of the earthen houses of the Marche.”

5. In depth: Sardinia

In Sardinia, this technique has been attested since antiquity. Clayey earth, in fact, has been found in some early Iron Age nuragic sites, in private buildings from the Phoenician/Punic Age, and in Roman and Medieval sites²³. The technique in mudbricks (*ladiri*), now more well-known, saw additional impetus during Spanish domination and was employed in subsequent centuries along with other materials (iron, brick, and reinforced concrete). Until the 1950s, *ladiri*, along with stone, was the building material most used in private construction (fig. 4).



Fig. 4. Earthen wall of a house in the town of Donigala Fenughedu (Oristano) (Source: Putzu, 2015).

The 1980s saw a revival of the technique, which appears widespread with certain variants in much of the regional territory; however, the historic and geographical regions where it is most prevalent are the areas of Campidano Maggiore and Campidano Meridionale, the Trexenta area, and the area of the Ogliastra plain. It is used mainly for residential construction: the courtyard house, the residential building, and the villa, but also for some industrial buildings. Absent an appropriate protocol, univocal and shared by the entire scientific world, a useful tool for

contemporary cadastres, and it covers an area of about 7,000 m². The demographic increase recorded in the nineteenth century saw houses proliferate exponentially in response to housing needs rising during those years within the poor class of farmers.

²³ Sanna, Atzeni 2009, p. 3; Putzu 2015, pp. 131-135.

construction practice may be seen in the manuals for the recovery of the historic centres of Sardinia, done at the initiative of the Region and the Urban Planning Councillorship²⁴. Among the “good practices,” useful reference data are provided both for structural interventions and for the technological characteristics of the individual component materials. In particular, in the case of possible reconstructions following collapse of entire walls, the use of similar and compatible materials and technologies is recommended; however, especially in buildings of particular testimonial significance, a distinction between the original and the reconstructed part (e.g.: interposition of a shutter, working with undercut) ought to be made. The reconstruction of partition walls using “non-traditional” materials and techniques is not ruled out a priori, but “is deemed at any rate inadmissible, due to the incompatibility in thermohygrometric mechanical behaviour, and the use of concrete, whether or not reinforced”²⁵. As concerns the interventions on the floor slabs, which in historic Campidano-area earthen construction always have a wooden structure, the support junction to the wall should advisably be well aerated, to guarantee good transpiration for the wood. In addition to the use of metal straps bound to the ends of the beams and anchored to key beams on the wall, an additional structure stiffening the masonry structure, which supplements the installation of chains and tie rods, may be made by introducing ring beams or hoops. Considering that the existing ring beams are usually made with rubble-core filling material, contained where needed by brick or stone cornices, these elements should advisably be “emptied, while still maintaining on the outer edge of the masonry a containment, to prepare the housing of the ring beam”²⁶. Upon careful evaluation, it is considered admissible to use ring beams in appropriately reinforced concrete, while it is held that ring beams in cement must be excluded.

Lastly, an essential component for conserving earth architectures is the plaster, based on common lime, better if in the form of slaked lime (grassello), or earth based. An excellent compromise may be found by making an earth-based mortar, adding lime in small amounts²⁷.

6. Conclusions

To date, the studies on earth structures, however abundant, are based on extremely heterogeneous survey material relating to existing structures, using no “univocal” criteria for interpretation. Moreover, there is still no thorough survey of existing structures that would be such as to provide a comprehensive picture of the current situation. Although some sensitive public administrations have developed targeted operating manuals and specific guidelines for restoration, there is still no legislative recognition protecting earth construction in its material authenticity and as a constituent element of historic fabrics.

References

- Achenza M., Sanna U. Eds. (2009). *Il manuale tematico della terra cruda, I manuali del recupero dei centri storici della Sardegna*, Dei Tipografia del Genio civile.
- Architettura di terra nelle Marche (2005). Catalogue of the Exhibition organized on the occasion of the European Heritage Days, Rocca Roveresca di Senigallia, 24 September - 30 October 2005, Tecnostampa, Ostra Vetere (An).
- Baldacci O. (1958). *L'ambiente geografico della casa in terra cruda in Italia*, in Studi geografici pubblicati in onore di Renato Biasutti, Rivista geografica italiana, supplemento al vol. LXV, La Nuova Italia, 13-43 pp.
- Bariola Bernales J.J. (1986). *Dynamic stability of adobe walls*. Ph.D. diss., University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign.
- Bartolomucci C. (2013). *Il patrimonio costruito in terra cruda. Una sfida per la conservazione*, in Recupero e conservazione, 106, 24-27 pp.
- Bertagnin M. (1999). *Architetture di terra in Italia. Tipologie, tecnologie e culture costruttive*. Edicom, Culture costruttive, Monfalcone.
- Bu Y.H., Wang Y.H., Han G., Li L. (2011).

²⁴ Detailed plan, Domus de Maria 2014; Achenza 2009; Sanna, Atzeni 2009.

²⁵ Sanna, Atzeni 2009, p. 290.

²⁶ Sanna, Atzeni 2009, p. 290.

²⁷ Sanna A., Atzeni 2009, p. 298; Achenza, Sanna U., p. 21.

Experimental study on seismic behavior of raw-soil structure with rammed earth walls by different construction methods, in Journal of Chang'an University, 31, 6, 72-76 pp.

Cacciavillani C. (2013). *La teoria e la pratica della costruzione in terra cruda nelle regioni centrali d'Italia*, in *Construcción con tierra. Pasado, presente y futuro*, Congreso de Arquitectura de tierra en Cuenca de Campos 2012, Cátedra Juan de Villanueva, Universidad de Valladolid. 27-36 pp.

Chiari G. (1988). *Consolidation of adobe with ethyl silicate: control of long-term effects using SEM*, in 5th International Meeting of Experts on the Conservation of Earthen Architecture (Rome, 22-23 October 1987), ICCROM, 25-32 pp.

Conti A.P. (2008). *Villa Ficana a Macerata: la storia di un restauro*, M.L. Germanà, R. Panvini (eds), *La terra cruda nelle costruzioni. Dalle Testimonianze Archeologiche all'Architettura Sostenibile*, Atti della Giornata di Studi Caltanissetta 29 giugno 2007, Nuova Ipsa, 157-166 pp.

Galdieri E. (1987). *L'architettura in terra cruda: caratteristiche tecnologiche, potenzialità formali e problemi di conservazione*, in *Restauro*, XVI, 94.

Istituto centrale di statistica del Regno d'Italia, *Indagine sulle case rurali in Italia*, Istituto Poligrafico, 1934.

Lasalandra M.A. (2008). *Gli edifici in terra cruda: tecniche costruttive e problemi di restauro*, in Carbonara G. Ed., *Restauro architettonico. Secondo aggiornamento*, X, Utet, 255-310 pp.

Luvidi L., Fratini F., Rescic S., Zhang J. Eds (2021). *Past and Present of the Earthen Architectures in China and Italy*, CNR, 251 pp.

Manca Cossu M., Loche A. Eds. (2005). *Architettura "naturale". Origine e diffusione dell'architettura vegetale e in terra cruda*, Atti del Convegno internazionale Cabras-Oristano, 25, 26 maggio 2002, Grafiche editoriali Solinas. Nuoro-Bolotona.

Mancini R., Putzu M.G. (2019). *Abitare la terra: la terra per abitare. Le tecniche costruttive tradizionali in terra cruda, fra conservazione, innovazione e restauro*, in Conte A., Guida A. Eds., *Patrimonio in divenire, conoscere, valorizzare, abitare*, ReUSO Matera, Gangemi Editore International, 731-742 pp.

Meli R., Hernandez O., Padilla M. (1980). *Strengthening of adobe houses for seismic actions. Proceedings of the Seventh World Conference on Earthquake Engineering*, Turkish National Committee on Earthquake Engineering, 465-472 pp.

Morales R. (1983). *La conservación de Estructuras y Decoraciones de adobe en Chan Chan*, in *El adobe*, Simposio Internacionaò y Curso-Taller sobre Conservacòn del Adobe. Proyecto Regional de Patrimonio Cultural y Desarrollo, Lima, PNUD/UNESCO. 109-115 pp.

Palombarini A., Volpe G. (2002). *La casa di terra nelle Marche*, F. Motta Editore, Milano. 175 pp.

Detailed Plan of the Centre of ancient and initial training, RT1, Municipality of Domus de Maria (Ca), guidelines for earth buildings, March 2014

Placido Munafò (2002). *La tradizione delle costruzioni in terra cruda nel maceratese: ipotesi per la conservazione e sperimentazione di tecniche di intervento*, in Bollini G. Ed., *La ricerca universitaria sull'architettura di terra*, Universiterra 1, Edicom, Monfalcone (GO). 17-27 pp.

Polichetti M.L. (1998). *Le case di terra nelle Marche*, in Gilibert A., Mattone R. Eds., *Terra: incipit vita nova. L'architettura di terra cruda dalle origini al presente*. Atti del Seminario, Torino 16-17, aprile 1997, Politecnico di Torino, 31-44 pp.

Putzu M.G. (2015), *Tecniche costruttive murarie medievali. La Sardegna*, «L'Erma» di Bretschneider.

Quagliarini E., Tassi C. (2008). *Architetture in terra a Macerata: Il quartiere di Villa Ficana. Analisi conoscitiva per il recupero*, Alinea.

Sanna A., Atzeni C. Eds. (2009). *Architettura in terra cruda, dei Campidani, del Cixerri e del Sarrabus*, I manuali del recupero dei centri storici della Sardegna, Dei.

Santoponte Emiliani C., (1941). *Dimore primitive nelle Marche*, in Bollettino Regia Società Geografica Italiana, VII, vol.VI, 5, 245-258 pp.

Saracco M. (2002). *Architettura in terra cruda: il caso delle Marche*, Alinea, 200 pp.

Sori E., Forlani A. (2000). *Case di Terra e Paglia delle Marche*, D'Auria, Ascoli Piceno.

Suilan Hau Espinosa M.I., Jarpa T.S.R. (2016). *Atlas of local seismic cultures in Chile: Identification of native earthen-architectural heritage and its vulnerability to greater risk*. in TERRA 2012. 12th SIACOT proceedings, 11. International Conference on the Study and Conservation of Earthen Architectural Heritage, 12th Iberian-American Seminar on Earthen Architecture and Construction, Argumentum.

Tolles L.E., Kimbro E.E., Webster F.A., Ginell W.S. (2000). *Seismic Stabilization of Historic Adobe Structure: Final Report of the Getty Seismic Adobe Project*. The Getty Conservation Institute.

Vargas-Neumann J., Otazzi G. (1981). *Investigaciones en adobe*. Proceedings of International Workshop on Earthen Building in Seismic Areas. University of New Mexico, Albuquerque.

Webster F. (2016). *Simple and effective seismic-retrofit techniques for earthen-masonry buildings*. TERRA 2012. 12th SIACOT proceedings, 11th International Conference on the Study and Conservation of Earthen Architectural Heritage, 12th Iberian-American Seminar on Earthen Architecture and Construction, Argumentum, 28-32 pp.

ISBN 978-84-1396-020-3



HERITAGE 2022 INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE
VERNACULAR HERITAGE:
CULTURE, PEOPLE AND SUSTAINABILITY

Eds. C. Mileto, F. Vegas, V. Cristini, L. García-Soriano

Vernacular architecture, tangible and intangible heritage of great importance to European and global culture, represents the response of a society culturally linked to its territory, in terms of climate and landscape. Its construction features are born from the practical experience of the inhabitants, making use of local materials, taking into consideration geographical conditions and cultural, social and constructive traditions, based on the conditions of the surrounding nature and habitat. Above all, it plays an essential role in contemporary society as it is able to teach us important principles and lessons for a respectful sustainable architecture.

Vernacular Heritage: Culture, People and Sustainability will be a valuable source of information for academics and professionals in the fields of Environmental Science, Civil Engineering, Construction and Building Engineering and Architecture.

