Insights into the GTP-dependent allosteric control of c-di-GMP hydrolysis from the crystal structure of PA0575 protein from Pseudomonas aeruginosa
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Introduction

The bis-(3’-5’)-cyclic di guanylic acid (c-di-GMP) belongs to the class of cyclic dinucleotides, key carriers of cellular information in prokaryotic and eukaryotic signal transduction pathways. In bacteria, the intracellular levels of c-di-GMP and their complex physiological outputs are dynamically regulated by environmental and internal stimuli, which control the antagonistic activities of diguanylate cyclases (DGCs) and c-di-GMP specific phosphodiesterases (PDEs). Allostery is one of the major modulators of the c-di-GMP-dependent response. Both the c-di-GMP molecule and the proteins interacting with this second messenger are characterized by an extraordinary structural plasticity, which has to be taken into account when defining and possibly predicting c-di-GMP-related processes. Here, we report a structure-function relationship study on the catalytic portion of the PA0575 protein from Pseudomonas aeruginosa, bearing both putative DGC and PDE domains. The kinetic and structural studies indicate that the GGDEF-EAL portion is a GTP-dependent PDE. Moreover, the crystal structure confirms the high degree of conformational flexibility of this module. We combined structural analysis and protein engineering studies to propose the possible molecular mechanism guiding the nucleotide-dependent allosteric control of catalysis; we propose that the role exerted by GTP via the GGDEF domain is to allow the two EAL domains to form a dimer, the species competent to enter PDE catalysis.

Bis-(3’-5’)-cyclic di guanylic acid (c-di-GMP) is a ubiquitous bacterial dinucleotide, controlling complex processes such as the transition from single-cell motile state to biofilm and cellular differentiation [1]. The intracellular levels of c-di-GMP are controlled by the opposite activities of diguanylate cyclases (DGCs), containing GGDEF domains, and phosphodiesterases (PDEs), containing either EAL or HD-GYP domains [1]. The activity of DGCs and PDEs is often allosterically regulated in a remarkably
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complex way by environmental/metabolic signals acting on the large variety of sensory domains neighbouring the catalytic ones [1,2]. Representative of this complexity are the so-called hybrid proteins, where the GGDEF and EAL domains are fused into the same polypeptide chain. The GGDEF-EAL hybrid proteins, which contain about 1/3 of all GGDEF and 2/3 of all EAL domains, are the least characterized members of the c-di-GMP signalling network [3]. In these proteins, the GGDEF-EAL pair may display a wide range of functional behaviours: the two domains may act as a genuine DGC and PDE, as in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* MorA [4], or the GGDEF domain may regulate the PDE activity of the EAL domain, as in *Caulobacter crescentus* and in *P. aeruginosa* RbdA [5–7]. Lastly, the GGDEF-EAL pair could behave as a specific c-di-GMP receptor with no enzymatic activity, as in *P. aeruginosa* FimX [8] and *Pseudomonas fluorescens* LapD [9].

The structures of hybrid proteins solved so far underline the importance of the interdomain interactions between GGDEF and EAL modules in controlling the observed large conformational changes induced upon ligand binding. Fusion of the GGDEF and EAL domains often allows fine-tuning of the enzyme, in order to link the catalytic activity of these polypeptides to the availability of the different nucleotides (mainly GTP and c-di-GMP). Understanding the structure and reciprocal regulation of the GGDEF-EAL domains is needed to clarify their biochemical properties and their role in the c-di-GMP-mediated signal transduction, up to now poorly investigated from a mechanistic point of view.

Here, we present a detailed structural and functional study on the GGDEF-EAL tandem domains of *P. aeruginosa* PAO1 PA0575 protein, a multidomain hybrid protein containing a periplasmic sensory domain, a transmembrane helix, four Per-Arnt-Sim (PAS) domains, the last of which represents the light/oxygen or voltage sensing (LOV) version of PAS, and finally the GGDEF-EAL effector superdomain (Fig. 1A). We recently found that this protein is able to control (by lowering) c-di-GMP levels in response to l-Arginine as carbon source [10]. The corresponding genetic mutant in *P. aeruginosa* PA14 (mutated in PA14_07500 gene, sharing 99% of sequence identity with PA0575) shows severely reduced swimming and swimming motility [11]; more recently, the same PA14_07500 gene has been shown to control matrix production and colony morphogenesis in response to the cellular redox state and phenazines, and accordingly named RmcA (Redox regulator of c-di-GMP) [12]. Given the high percentage of sequence identity of PA0575 with the PA14 counterpart, hereinafter we will adopt the RmcA name also for PA0575.

The characterization of the catalytic moiety of *P. aeruginosa* RmcA reported here confirms that the activity of this protein is to degrade c-di-GMP and that the PDE activity is allosterically controlled by GTP through the GGDEF domain. The structural and protein engineering analysis reported here were used to profile the structural and functional basis for the allosteric control of the PDE catalytic activity by the GGDEF domain.

**Results**

The PDE activity of the EAL domain is allosterically controlled by GTP

To characterize the activity of RmcA GGDEF and EAL domains, different constructs were produced (Fig. 1A), containing both domains (DUAL) or the sole EAL domain with or without the upstream hinge helix (EAL-1 and EAL-2, respectively). Conservation of the signature sequences on both domains suggested that the DUAL construct could display both the DGC and the PDE catalytic activities. In agreement with our preliminary evidence on *P. aeruginosa* PAO1-ΔPA0575 mutant showing c-di-GMP accumulation in the presence of l-Arginine as carbon source [10], we found that: (a) the DUAL protein is able to hydrolyse c-di-GMP into pGpG in vitro (Fig. 1B); (b) as expected, the PDE activity is a property of the EAL domain, as it is conserved in the EAL-1 and EAL-2 constructs (Fig. 1B); (c) under the same experimental conditions, no DGC or PDE-B activity (i.e. hydrolytic conversion of pGpG into GMP [13]) is observed when GTP is the sole substrate (Fig. 1B–D).

The PDE activity of DUAL increases in the presence of excess GTP (Fig. 2A,B, Table 1 and Table S1); this positive effect is also seen if GTP is added during c-di-GMP hydrolysis, suggesting that feedback inhibition by c-di-GMP does not occur (Fig. 2C). GDP, ppGpp and pppGpp are also able to trigger EAL activation to some extent (Fig. 2D). The allosteric effect of GTP on the PDE activity of DUAL involves the GGDEF domain (Fig. 3A), where it binds with a 1 : 1 stoichiometry (one molecule/monomer) and sub-μM affinity, as assessed by FRET spectroscopy using MANT-GTP (a fluorescent GTP analogue, Fig. 3B); the presence of excess c-di-GMP has no
effect on MANT-GTP binding (Fig. 3B). As expected, GTP is able to displace MANT-GTP confirming the sub-μM affinity also for the physiological ligand (Fig. 3C).

We found that the PDE activity depends hyperbolically on GTP concentration in the range assayed (2–50 μM, Fig. 3D) yielding an apparent $K_{D,\text{app}}$ of 2.8 ± 0.5 μM. Despite the observed sub-μM binding affinity, the maximal GTP-dependent activation of the PDE activity is obtained at GTP concentrations above ~15 μM (Fig. 3D). These results suggest that the GTP-dependent PDE activation (measured by the aforementioned $K_{D,\text{app}}$) includes other events than the sole GTP binding, most likely a conformational transition between an inactive to an active form (OFF→ON). Accordingly, the $K_M$ for c-di-GMP is not affected by GTP, while the $V_{\text{max}}$ of the PDE reaction increases (Table 1), suggesting that GTP likely increases the population of the catalytically competent PDE enzyme (i.e., the ON form).

C-di-GMP binding to the sole EAL domain (EAL-2) shows one binding site per monomer with a $K_{D,\text{c-di-GMP}} = 171 ± 30$ nM (Fig. 4, including both ITC and FRET data). On the other hand, the ITC profile of the DUAL/c-di-GMP interaction is significantly different and includes complex multiple events, while FRET titration shows a sub-μM affinity for MANT-c-di-GMP (Fig. 4B) and 0.6 : 1 stoichiometry. Altogether these data indicate that c-di-GMP binding to the EAL active site is affected by the presence of the GGDEF domain; the evidence that mutation of key residues located in the EAL pocket abolishes c-di-GMP binding indicates that also in the DUAL construct this nucleotide targets only the EAL domain (Fig. 4C). Interestingly, preincubation of DUAL with excess GTP changes the stoichiometry of binding to 1 : 1, indicating that the GTP-dependent conformational change yields a homogeneous population of EAL active sites able to bind c-di-GMP, while the ITC profile does not change significantly (Fig. 4).
Crystal structure of the GGDEF-EAL domains: clues for the GTP-dependent allosteric changes

We solved the structure of the DUAL construct in complex with two molecules of GTP and five calcium ions at 2.8 Å resolution. DUAL crystallized as an asymmetric dimer, since the relative orientation of the N-terminal GGDEF domain (residue 809–972) with respect to the C-terminal EAL domain (residue 993–1234) in each monomer is very different (Fig. 5A). The overall fold of the GGDEF and EAL domains is conserved in both subunits; superposition of the two EAL domains highlights that the large conformational difference between the two monomers occurs through a rigid body movement involving the long hinge helix (residues 973–992). With respect to monomer-B, the hinge helix in monomer-A is considerably bended and the two GGDEF domains are translated of 22 Å and rotated of 30° with respect to one another (Fig. 5A).

(Phe-927-Arg-874) at the interface between the two GGDEF domains further stabilizes the asymmetric dimer. In the EAL domains, the residues responsible for metal and c-di-GMP binding are conserved and each domain binds one calcium ion in the EAL active site (Fig. 5B). As suggested by structural superposition with homologous domains crystallized in complex with c-di-GMP, only an open conformation of the EAL active site, as seen in DUAL monomer-B, is competent for substrate binding, since the bending of the hinge helix, observed in monomer-A, shifts the a1-helix of 3.1 Å towards the centre of the active site closing the cleft that hubs one guanine of c-di-GMP (Fig. 5B). The asymmetric structure of DUAL, with only one subunit competent for substrate binding (monomer-B) matches the observed stoichiometry of MANT-c-di-GMP binding to DUAL (~ 0.6 molar ratio). As MANT-c-di-GMP is able to fully saturate DUAL in the presence of GTP, the complete conformational transition following GTP binding likely favours the opening of the active site in the EAL domain (monomer-B like conformation). Accordingly, an increase in the DUAL volume in solution upon GTP binding is also observed by Dynamic Light Scattering and Analytical Size Exclusion Chromatography (Fig. 5C).

The dramatic difference in the reciprocal orientation of the GGDEF domain with respect to the EAL domain observed in the asymmetric DUAL structure indicates that the hinge helix allows a great conformational variability to the hybrid domains. In agreement with these observations, the structural superposition of DUAL with the two homologues MorA [4] and RbdA [7] shows not only that the hinge helix is very flexible, but also that the GGDEF domain is able to assume any possible orientation with respect to the EAL domain (Fig. 5D). Therefore, the two conformations observed in the asymmetric dimer of DUAL may represent two intermediate structural snapshots of the events following GTP binding and leading to a fully active ON species. Indeed a large conformational rearrangement upon GTP binding, leading to a more elongated active dimer, has also been proposed for RbdA [7]. The structure of RbdA, encompassing a PAS-GGDEF-EAL construct, was solved as a symmetric dimer, which appeared to be inactive given the separation of the two EAL domains. The results presented so far therefore indicate that GTP binding to the GGDEF domain exerts a dramatic effect also on the overall conformation and function of RmcA DUAL domain.

### Table 1. Kinetic parameters of RmcA constructs and DUAL mutants of c-di-GMP hydrolysis (pH 8.0, 25 °C).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protein</th>
<th>GTP (μM)</th>
<th>$k_{cat}$ (μM)</th>
<th>$k_{cat}$ (min$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DUAL</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4.1 ± 0.4</td>
<td>0.09 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.5 ± 0.9</td>
<td>0.2 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4.2 ± 1.2</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>2.5 ± 0.5</td>
<td>0.35 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EAL-2</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.9 ± 0.8</td>
<td>0.66 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DUAL E890A</td>
<td></td>
<td>11.6 ± 3.5</td>
<td>0.1$^a$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>9.6 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.54$^a$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$To fit these data, the $V_{max}$ parameter has been imposed; this value falls within the range of the $V_{max}$ observed for the wild-type constructs and allowed the best fit of the experimental data. This constraint represents the hypothetical $V_{max}$ of catalysis, if the substrate inhibition does not take place and therefore it represents an extrapolated value. Therefore, it is not a real $k_{cat}$ but an extrapolated $V_{max}$ (with 1 μM enzyme), yielding the $K_{m}$ values reported in the Table and the following $K_i$: 10.9 ± 2.2 μM without GTP and 17.8 ± 1.8 μM with GTP. Data fit has been done considering one monomer of the EAL as the active site, to simplify the model, independently on the catalysis occurring on the other monomer of the EAL/EAL dimer; nevertheless, more complex model, involving the EAL dimer, should be also considered for dissecting EAL mechanism [19].

### Analysis of the GTP binding mode in the two GGDEF active sites

One GTP molecule is bound to the active site of the GGDEF domain in both monomers. Together with GTP, one calcium ion is octahedrally coordinated in monomer-B, while two calcium ions are present in monomer-A (Fig. 6A); regardless of metal content, the GTP binding mode is the same in both subunits and similar to that observed in RbdA [7]. With respect to the GTP-αS complexes available [20,21], the position and interaction network of the guanine base of GTP is conserved, while the ω-phosphate has a very different conformation. The ω-PhO, which in GTP-αS does not bind the metal, is involved in metal coordination in both subunits of DUAL, occupying the sixth ligand position for metal-1 in monomer-B and bridging both metals in monomer-A (Fig. 6A). In the bimetallic site, the two aspartate residues (Asp-889 and 846) that bind the metal, is involved in metal coordination in both subunits of DUAL, occupying the sixth ligand position for metal-1 in monomer-B and bridging both metals in monomer-A (Fig. 6A). In the bimetallic site, the two aspartate residues (Asp-889 and 846) that coordinate only metal-1 in monomer-B, bridge both metals. Thus, in monomer-A, the coordination geometry of metal-1 is more distorted than in monomer-B. The glutamate belonging to the GGDEF signature (Glu-890), serves as the fourth ligand of metal-2 in monomer-A, while in the other monomer its side chain is rotated and makes no interactions (Fig. 6A). Finally, the a1-helix of EAL domain in monomer-A is closer to the GTP binding site than in monomer-B (Fig. 6A).
GTP binding may therefore prime the activation of DUAL to enter PDE catalysis by displacement of EAL (via a helix), possibly through the reorganization of the GTP molecule in the binding site, mainly at the level of the a-phosphate. To validate this, we investigated whether the GTP analogue GTP-aS, whose binding geometry differs from that of GTP (Fig. 6A), triggers PDE activation to the same extent of GTP. As shown in Fig. 6B, the a-substitution abolishes the positive effect of GTP on PDE activity and lowers DUAL affinity for GTP, while the y-S analogue does not. GTP-a-S does not affect MANT-c-di-GMP binding, whose titration profile resembles that observed in the absence of GTP (Fig. 6C,D). Thus, the a-substitution likely forces GTP in a nonproductive conformation to trigger EAL displacement.

To further probe the environment around the a-bond moiety, we mutated the glutamic acid residue of the GGDEF signature, which, in the closed monomer of DUAL, coordinates metal-2 bridging the a-PhO group (Fig. 6A).

Fig. 3. Binding of GTP to DUAL domain. (A) Comparison of the binding plot of DUAL (red circles) or EAL-2 (black circles) with MANT-GTP. No binding was observed with the latter construct. (B) Titration of DUAL (2 μM) with MANT-GTP (red circles) yields a stoichiometry of 1 GTP:1 DUAL monomer; the same profile was observed in the presence of excess c-di-GMP (30 μM, black circles). On the Y-axis is reported the ratio between FRET signal at 430 nm at a given ligand concentration and the plateau value (100% of bound species), considering the plateau value as the Y-intercept of the line parallel to X-axis fitting the plateau points. Data are the means of two independent experiments and errors (± SD) are within 5%. In the inset the same experiment carried out in the presence of different amounts of protein (0.1, 1, 2 and 4 μM); the value of MANT-GTP required to reach the plateau, and therefore to saturate the protein, depends on the amount of protein. This is typical of a titration plot, where the total ligand added reported in the abscissa axis is approximate to the bound ligand (see Materials and methods for details). (C) Binding of GTP to DUAL (1 μM) assayed by displacement of MANT-GTP. The competition experiment was carried out in the presence of a constant concentration of MANT-GTP (5 μM) and various competitor concentrations (i.e. GTP); buffer conditions are those optimized for PDE activity. The Y-axis values are the fluorescence value at 435 nm. Data were fitted (continuous lines) with the displacement equation (see methods), yielding K_{displ} = 6.93 ± 0.4 μM. Data are the mean of two experiments ± SD. At this stage we cannot extrapolate the precise K_D for GTP, since we do not know the exact K_{D,MANT-GTP}. However we can calculate that, since K_{D,MANT-GTP} is submicromolar, also the corresponding competitor K_D will fall in the same range; as an example, if the K_{D,MANT-GTP} is 0.1 μM we would have K_{D,GTP} 0.13 μM (see Materials and methods for calculation details). Given that addition of GTP to DUAL during stirring leads to protein aggregation/precipitation as during an ITC run, this peculiar behaviour hampered ITC experiment with DUAL and GTP, and therefore the precise determination of K_D. (D) PDE activity was assayed with 30 μM c-di-GMP at different GTP concentrations; the V_{o,obs}/V_{max} ratio as a function of GTP concentration is reported (black circles). Data are the means of three experiments ± SD and were fitted with the reversible binding equation (continuous line).
GTP. Contrary with the wild-type protein, the dependence of $v_0$ on substrate concentration yields a bell-shaped curve, indicative of substrate inhibition (Fig. 7A), with a $K_i$ for c-di-GMP close to the apparent $K_M$ (17.8 vs 9.6 μM, in the presence of GTP) (Table 1). The mutant still responds to GTP (Table 1), but above 10 μM c-di-GMP, E890A is not able to sustain the activation triggered by GTP and PDE turnover drops dramatically ~ 100 s after substrate addition (Fig. 7B). As shown above, binding of GTP to the wild-type DUAL protein affects c-di-GMP binding to EAL: this effect is abolished by the E890A mutation (Fig. 7C). This behaviour is not due to changes in GTP affinity, which is not significantly altered in the mutant (Fig. 7D,E).

We propose that the effect of the E890 mutation at the level of the GGDEF domain is propagated to the EAL/EAL interface, thereby altering the PDE kinetic profile, resembling the profile previously observed for mutants located at the dimerization interface of other EAL PDEs. In the case of these mutants, binding of c-di-GMP to one monomer fails to induce the proper conformational change (linked to the coordination of a second metal ion in the EAL binding site) of the conserved DDFGTGYS motif; thus c-di-GMP bound to one monomer negatively affected the catalytic efficiency of the other EAL monomer resulting in a substrate inhibition profile [17,19]. Observing a similar profile for the E890 mutant is the first evidence of a mirroring effect on an hybrid domain, i.e., a mutation in the GGDEF signature able to affect the EAL/EAL crosstalk, resulting in uncoupling of GTP binding and PDE activation. Moreover, the catalytic profile of this mutant suggests that the EAL/EAL dimerization is one of the step of the GTP-dependent protein activation.

**Hypothetical allosteric model of GTP-dependent activation of RmcA**

As already mentioned, our biochemical data suggest that DUAL populates at least two distinct conformational states that are associated with low and high PDE activities. The different bending of the hinge helix between the two DUAL monomers (Fig. 5A) suggests that the ON-OFF switch may involve the transition from an elongated to a more compact conformation, resulting in a closer contact between the EAL and GGDEF domains. Therefore, starting from our structural data and from the structure of the homologous protein MorA (~ 65% sequence identity; [4]), we speculated on two plausible models of the fully

---

**Fig. 4.** Interaction of DUAL with c-di-GMP. (A) Binding of c-di-GMP to EAL-2 (open circles) and to DUAL (black circles) was assayed by ITC, at 25 °C, by titrating 35 μM or 25 μM protein with 195 μM or 390 μM c-di-GMP, respectively; the heat exchange/mol of injectant has been reported in the figure and data were fitted with a single binding site equation [according to the ORIGIN (OriginLab Corporation, Northampton, MA, USA) software provided by the vendor]. Data fit parameters for EAL-2 yields a stoichiometry of 0.9 ± 0.2, $K_D = 0.17 ± 0.03$ μM, $\Delta H = -3.1 ± 0.6$ kcal·mol$^{-1}$, $\Delta S = 20.6 ± 1.5$ cal·mol$^{-1}$·deg$^{-1}$. Data are the mean of three independent experiments ± SD. Titration of DUAL shows a very complicated profile which hampered unambiguous data fit (see Materials and methods for details). (B) Binding of MANT-c-di-GMP to DUAL and EAL-2 (black and empty circles, respectively) assayed by FRET titration of 4 μM MANT-c-di-GMP (in the cell) with 390 μM c-di-GMP (in the syringe), with or without incubation with 50 μM GTP (red and black circles, respectively). ITC on DD-DUAL (green circles), which is not able to bind c-di-GMP in the EAL active site, suggested that low-affinity binding events are still present; possibly it could represent a residual binding to the mutated EAL site.

---

**Table 1.** Kinetic constants of EAL-2 and DUAL. The titration of DUAL was carried out after preincubation with 80 μM GTP and PDE turn-off drops dramatically ~ 100 s after substrate addition (Fig. 7B). As shown above, binding of GTP to the wild-type DUAL protein affects c-di-GMP binding to EAL: this effect is abolished by the E890A mutation (Fig. 7C). This behaviour is not due to changes in GTP affinity, which is not significantly altered in the mutant (Fig. 7D,E).

---

We propose that the effect of the E890 mutation at the level of the GGDEF domain is propagated to the EAL/EAL interface, thereby altering the PDE kinetic profile, resembling the profile previously observed for mutants located at the dimerization interface of other EAL PDEs. In the case of these mutants, binding of c-di-GMP to one monomer fails to induce the proper conformational change (linked to the coordination of a second metal ion in the EAL binding site) of the conserved DDFGTGYS motif; thus c-di-GMP bound to one monomer negatively affected the catalytic efficiency of the other EAL monomer resulting in a substrate inhibition profile [17,19]. Observing a similar profile for the E890 mutant is the first evidence of a mirroring effect on an hybrid domain, i.e., a mutation in the GGDEF signature able to affect the EAL/EAL crosstalk, resulting in uncoupling of GTP binding and PDE activation. Moreover, the catalytic profile of this mutant suggests that the EAL/EAL dimerization is one of the step of the GTP-dependent protein activation.

**Hypothetical allosteric model of GTP-dependent activation of RmcA**

As already mentioned, our biochemical data suggest that DUAL populates at least two distinct conformational states that are associated with low and high PDE activities. The different bending of the hinge helix between the two DUAL monomers (Fig. 5A) suggests that the ON-OFF switch may involve the transition from an elongated to a more compact conformation, resulting in a closer contact between the EAL and GGDEF domains. Therefore, starting from our structural data and from the structure of the homologous protein MorA (~ 65% sequence identity; [4]), we speculated on two plausible models of the fully
Fig. 5. Structure of RmcA-DUAL. (A) On the left: structure of the asymmetric dimer. In each monomer the N-terminal GGDEF (blue), the connecting helix (red) and the EAL domain (yellow) are highlighted in different colours. The GTP molecules and calcium ions are shown as spheres. In the centre and right side: superposition of the EAL domains of the two monomers showing the conformational differences in the relative orientation of the GGDEF domain with respect to the EAL domain. The bending of the connecting helix and the corresponding shift of helix $\alpha_1$, belonging to the EAL domain, is highlighted in the blow-up. (B) Top; the c-di-GMP binding site. Superposition of the EAL domain of RmcA-DUAL (monomer-B, yellow) with the homologue hybrid protein from Pseudomonas aeruginosa MorA in complex with c-di-GMP and 2 Mg$^{2+}$ ions (4RNH, violet) [4]. Residue numbering refers to RmcA. Two side chains that were not visible in the electron density and are marked with an *. C-di-GMP is harboured in the EAL active site by multiple interactions. One guanine (G2) docks in a hydrophobic cleft flanked by helix $\alpha_1$. In monomer-B the 3.1 Å shift of this helix seals the cleft, making c-di-GMP binding impossible, as shown in the bottom panels by surface representation of the hydrophobic cleft harbouring guanine G2. The cleft is well accessible in monomer-B (lower left) and closed by the helix $\alpha_1$ shift in monomer-A (right). (C) Upper panel. Dynamic light-scattering measurements. Hydrodynamic radius (Rh) and number distribution (Pn) of the 4 µM protein in the PDE buffer, both in the absence and in the presence of GTP at a final concentration of 50 µM; the hydrodynamic radius of DUAL in the presence of GTP increases from 2.96 to 3.6 nm, leaving substantially unchanged the polydispersity index. Lower panel. Size-exclusion chromatography on a HPLC G3000PWXL column, 0.8 mL/min. Chromatographic profiles of DUAL samples eluted ± GTP (blue and red line, respectively); GTP yields a population of DUAL (GTP-bound) with a significantly higher hydrodynamic volume than the GTP-free counterpart. (D) Structural comparison of monomer-A and B of DUAL with the monomers of the homologues MorA (4RNH) and RbdA (6XGB). Given the very different conformations, only the EAL domains were superposed. To show the different orientations of the GGDEF domains with respect to the hinge helix only the last (C-terminal) $\alpha$-helix of the GGDEF domain is shown. An indication of the orientation of this helix is also added for clarity, with the square end corresponding to the connecting of the GGDEF domain with the hinge helix (C-terminal of the GGDEF domain). The very different angles account for the difference in the orientations of the GGDEF domains among the superposed structures.
ON and OFF conformations of RmcA, which could also be compatible with the full-length protein. These hypothetical models were constructed in an attempt to locate the conformational hot-spots of RmcA.

In modelling an ON symmetric dimer of DUAL, we initially compared the two DUAL monomers with MorA. Both MorA and monomer-B of DUAL appear to adopt an ‘open’ conformation, with the hinge helix connecting the GGDEF and EAL domains being completely ‘straight’, and spatially separating, in this way, the GGDEF from the EAL domains. Moreover, we observed that the single EAL domains of monomer-B and MorA superposed very well (RMSD < 1.0 Å), while the GGDEF domain shows a 120° torsion in monomer-B with respect to the same domain of MorA (in spite of the fact that the single GGDEF domains are also very similar, with a RMSD < 1.0 Å) (Fig. 5D). Since an hypothetical symmetric dimer with both subunits in the conformation of monomer-B is incompatible with the upstream LOV domain in the full-length RmcA protein, we modified the orientation of the GGDEF domain of the DUAL monomer-B, to resemble the GGDEF-EAL orientation observed in MorA [4]. Notably, in this hypothetical symmetric model the orientation of the N-terminal GGDEF tails would be compatible with the upstream LOV domain C-terminal tails, as observed in the crystal structures of LOV domains retrieved from PDB (data not shown) (Fig. 8A,B). Moreover, this assembly of the GGDEF domains also coincides with the catalytically inactive conformation of previously solved GGDEF domains [22], which shows no detectable c-di-GMP cyclase activity.

On the other hand, monomer-A of DUAL, displaying a more compact conformation, was used as a starting point to model an OFF state of RmcA. To this aim, we investigated the ability of monomer-A to reach a fully closed state, performing an all-atom normal mode (NM) analysis (Movies S1 and S2; [23,24]). NM motions described by the lowest frequency Normal Mode suggest that indeed monomer-A of DUAL is able to adopt a fully closed OFF state (Fig. 8A,C), in which the α1-helix of the EAL domain is very close to the GTP binding site of the GGDEF domain. Notably, the NM data also corroborates the high flexibility of the GGDEF-EAL domains interface inferred from crystallographic B factors of monomer-A. Albeit it was not possible in the latter case to obtain a symmetric dimer of the OFF state, we expect that in such state the EAL domains would be ‘locked’ in a confirmation that prevents their dimerization, via close contacts involving residues of EAL and GGDEF domains, as observed in other GGDEF-EAL structures [7,25].

Comparing the obtained OFF and ON models, we confirmed that the α1-helix of the EAL domain in monomer-A is closer to the GTP binding site than in monomer-B (Fig. 8C), suggesting that GTP binding may prime the reorganization of the GTP neighbouring residues in the binding site and drive the conformational OFF→ON transition. Moreover, we noticed that the invariant residue Arg980 (according to the multiple sequence alignment of ~ 250 protein homologues of PA0575; data not shown), which is located at the centre of the hinge helix connecting the GGDEF and EAL domains, participates in contacts with both the GGDEF and EAL domains, thus bridging their interactions only in the OFF state (Fig. 8C). We therefore hypothesized that, upon GTP binding, a rearrangement of the Arg980-mediated GGDEF-EAL domains interactions could destabilize the OFF state and favour the opening of the DUAL module, through the unbending of the hinge helix. Interestingly, Arg980 is proposed to stabilize also the ON state, due to its electrostatics interactions with residues Glu1038 and Asp1039 of the EAL domains (Fig. 8B). Thus, GTP is proposed to ‘unlock’ the EAL domains and to allow, through a large conformational change in the hinge helix, their dimerization and subsequent activation (Fig. 8A).

To corroborate this mechanistic model, we mutated the Arg980 residue, which is likely involved in stabilizing both the OFF and the GTP-derived ON conformations. We found that the PDE activity of the corresponding R980S mutant becomes GTP-independent, and significantly higher than that of the GTP-free wild-type (Fig. 8D). Therefore, the substitution of this residue appears to uncouple GTP binding and protein activation. While the OFF→ON equilibrium of wild-type DUAL, as purified in the absence of GTP, is largely shifted towards the OFF state, in the presence of the Arg980Ser mutation such equilibrium switches to favour the ON state, likely by promoting the conformational transition required for PDE activation via OFF destabilization. On the other hand, it must be noted that the observed activities are slightly slower than the activity of the wild-type protein in the presence of GTP (Fig 8D). This could be due to the fact that, according to the model, Arg980 makes electrostatic interactions with Glu1038 and Asp1039 in the ON state, thus also contributing to stabilize the ON conformation. However, the latter effect is perhaps of secondary importance if compared to the ability of Arg980 to ‘lock’ the OFF state. To validate this hypothesis, we mutated the counterpart of this hypothetical interaction (E1038A/D1039A double mutant). As expected, the activity of this double
mutant, in the presence of excess GTP, superposes with that of R980S mutant being also in this case slightly lower than that observed in the GTP-containing wild-type protein. Interestingly, in the absence of GTP the activity of the E1038A/D1039A double mutant is dramatically affected by the mutation, being ~5-folds lower than the wild-type. This indicates that this double mutation affects the OFF→ON equilibrium found in the ‘as purified’ wild-type protein, increasing the population of the OFF form. Taken together, these results strongly indicate that Glu1038 and Asp1039 are involved in stabilizing the fraction of protein in the ON state, likely via their interaction with Arg980. In the double mutant, Arg980 loses its contact(s) in the ON conformation, while it is still able to stabilize the OFF state, thus accounting for the poor basal catalytic activity of the E1038A/D1039A double mutant.
Fig. 6. GTP binding to the GGDEF domain. (A) GTP bound to the GGDEF domain in monomer-A (left) and to monomer-B (right). Electron density maps \((2F_o-F_c)\) for GTP, Ca\(^{2+}\) ions and coordination atoms are shown with a contour level of 1.3 \(\sigma\). Residues belonging to the GGDEF motif are coloured in grey. Monomer-A contains two calcium ions (Ca1 and Ca2), while in monomer-B only one ion is observed, in the same position corresponding to Ca1. The coordination geometry of the metals is highlighted in the boxes below. The three phosphates of GTP, Asp-864, the main chain oxygen of Leu-847 and Asp-889 (belonging to the GGDEF motif) function as ligands of the octahedrally coordinated Ca1 in both monomers, with a RMSD from ideal geometry that is lower in monomer-B. The second calcium ion (Ca2), present in monomer-A, is coordinated by four out of seven ligands necessary to complete the trigonal prismatic coordination geometry. Three of these residues bridge both metals (\(\alpha\)-PhO; Asp-864 and the GGDEF Asp-889), while the fourth additional ligand atom is provided by the glutamate of the GGDEF signature (Glu-890). The bottom-right box shows the different binding mode of GTP\(-\alpha\)-S (as observed in Caulobacter crescentus PleD – 2V0N-[21] and Escherichia coli DQS – 4ZVF-[20]) with respect to GTP. The presence of the sulphur atom does not allow the \(\alpha\)-PhO to coordinate the metal in position 1. (B) PDE activity of DUAL (1 \(\mu\)M) at 30 min after substrate addition carried out with 30 \(\mu\)M c-di-GMP and, when indicated, with either 50 \(\mu\)M GTP or GTP-\(\alpha\)-S or GTP-\(\gamma\)-S (at RT); pGpG content was determined by RP-HPLC. Activity observed with GTP was considered as reference of maximal activity (100%), as compared to the other samples. Data are the means of at least two experiments \(\pm\) SD. Statistical significance for each construct with respect to the assay without GTP is indicated (** in the figure), being \(P<0.01\); ns: not significant. Not significant differences are observed between the assay with GTP and its analogue GTP-\(\gamma\)-S \((P=0.25)\). (C) Binding of GTP and its analogues to DUAL (1 \(\mu\)M) assayed by displacement of MANT-GTP. The competition experiment was carried out in the presence of a constant concentration of MANT-GTP (5 \(\mu\)M) and various competitor concentrations (i.e. GTP-\(\gamma\)-S, empty circles; GTP-\(\alpha\)-S, black circles and GTP, red circles for comparison); \(Y\)-axis values are the fluorescence at 435 nm. Data were fitted (continuous lines) with the displacement equation, yielding \(K_{\text{displ}}: 3.3 \pm 0.1 \mu\)M for GTP-\(\gamma\)-S; 14.6 \pm 0.6 \mu\)M for GTP-\(\alpha\)-S. Data are the mean of two experiments \(\pm\) SD. Accordingly, the affinity scale is: GTP-\(\gamma\)-S > GTP-\(\alpha\)-S. (D) Titration of DUAL (2 \(\mu\)M) with different amounts of MANT-c-di-GMP in the presence of 50 \(\mu\)M GTP-\(\alpha\)-S (black circles) is compared with the experiments carried out with or without 50 \(\mu\)M GTP (red and empty circles, respectively). Data are the mean of three independent experiments \(\pm\) standard deviation.

Fig. 7. Characterization of the E890A mutant. (A) The initial rate of PDE kinetics of E890A carried out in the presence of excess GTP (50 \(\mu\)M) was plotted as a function of c-di-GMP concentration and compared to that of DUAL (empty and red traces, respectively). In the case of the mutant, data were fitted with substrate inhibition equation. Data are the means of three independent experiments \(\pm\) SD. (B) Time-course of PDE kinetics obtained at 10 and 20 \(\mu\)M c-di-GMP, 1 \(\mu\)M protein (E890A in black, wild-type in red). (C) Interaction of E890A with c-di-GMP was investigated by titrating 2 \(\mu\)M of protein with different amounts of MANT-c-di-GMP, both in the presence or in the absence of excess GTP (50 \(\mu\)M, black or empty circles, respectively); the presence of GTP does not increase the equivalents of MANT-c-di-GMP bound, as observed in the wild-type protein (red circles). Data are means of three independent experiments \(\pm\) SD. (D) Interaction of E890A with GTP was investigated by titrating 2 \(\mu\)M of protein with different amounts of MANT-GTP (black open circles). The titration profile superposes with that of the wild-type protein (red circles); the same profile was observed in the presence of excess c-di-GMP (30 \(\mu\)M, black circles). Data are the means of at least two independent experiments and errors \(\pm\) SD are within 5%. (E) Competition experiment of MANT-GTP with GTP, carried out on E890A (in black, as compared to the wild-type, in red). Data fit yields a \(K_{\text{displ}} = 10.6 \pm 2.2 \mu\)M.
Our results show that the *P. aeruginosa* PAO1 multidomain protein RmcA, which we recently found to respond to the nutrients status by lowering c-di-GMP levels in vivo [10], is a GTP-dependent PDE.

We found that in RmcA DUAL, binding of GTP to the GGDEF releases the negative control of this domain on the EAL one; additionally, structural and protein engineering data suggest that GTP binding to the GGDEF domain of RmcA affects the assembly of EAL domains, likely by displacing the $\alpha_1$-helix and reorganizing the hinge helix, thereby promoting/stabilizing EAL-EAL dimerization. Since c-di-GMP binding to the EAL active site is known to stabilize the
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Discussion

Our results show that the *P. aeruginosa* PAO1 multidomain protein RmcA, which we recently found to respond to the nutrients status by lowering c-di-GMP levels in vivo [10], is a GTP-dependent PDE.

We found that in RmcA DUAL, binding of GTP to the GGDEF releases the negative control of this domain on the EAL one; additionally, structural and protein engineering data suggest that GTP binding to the GGDEF domain of RmcA affects the assembly of EAL domains, likely by displacing the $\alpha_1$-helix and reorganizing the hinge helix, thereby promoting/stabilizing EAL-EAL dimerization. Since c-di-GMP binding to the EAL active site is known to stabilize the...
EAL/EAL interface [19], it is likely that, once GTP has triggered the transition, c-di-GMP is also required to fully stabilize the ON state (Fig. 9 for a summary of the proposed mechanism).

The GTP-dependent allosteric control of the GGDEF domain over the EAL domain was already described for other hybrid proteins [6,26] (Table S2); Christen et al. suggested that under physiological conditions the GTP-dependent PDE activity of the hybrid protein CC3396, a GGDEF-EAL protein from *C. crescentus*, could be maximal given that GTP is saturating. They also proposed that uncontrolled PDE-dependent decrease in c-di-GMP levels could lead to the activation of those DGCs negatively controlled by c-di-GMP and finally to possible GTP depletion; nevertheless, if GTP is the modulator of the c-di-GMP consumption rate by DUAL-like PDEs, the risk of nucleotide unbalancing is lessened [5]. The concentration of c-di-GMP could be very different in cell, being in the low μM range [27–29] (with a 100 : 1 ratio with GTP [30,31]). This ratio (GTP:c-di-GMP) reportedly could be reverted into 1 : 10 once c-di-GMP levels increase for DGC over-expression, being GTP pool depleted only for 30%, thus confirming that fine tuning of GTP levels takes place [30].

It should be mentioned that, under stress conditions and nutrients starvation, GTP pool could dramatically drop (by a factor of 5; [32,33]) through the conversion of this nucleotide into (p)ppGpp [34,35]. Therefore, the GTP-dependent control of PDE activity could also be a strategy to promote the decrease in c-di-GMP levels (and the related phenotypes such as biofilm dispersion) only under maximal biosynthetic potential (known to be linked to high GTP levels).

As already mentioned, the obtained results demonstrate that GTP affects RmcA in the micromolar range, which is much smaller compared to the millimolar range of GTP levels in live cells. In this scenario, therefore, under not stringent nutrient conditions, the GTP-binding site of GGDEF would always be fully occupied by GTP, precluding any regulatory role of the latter. Actually, this apparent conundrum could be

![Fig. 9. Proposed mechanism of RmcA activity. In this scheme, the various species involved in the activity are depicted and numbered progressively. Species 1 represents the starting OFF conformation; this is converted into species 2 by GTP binding, which drives unlocking of the EAL domains (likely in equilibrium with species 3). Binding of c-di-GMP to species 3 (at the EAL active site) populates species 4, which represents the PDE-active cycling species. Thus, in the presence of c-di-GMP, the equilibrium is shifted towards species 4 along the blue pathway. In solution, species 1 is likely in equilibrium with a small fraction of species 5, which is able to react with c-di-GMP, yielding the observed basal PDE activity without GTP (species 6). The $K_D$ for GTP obtained from data reported in Fig. 3D likely accounts for the equilibrium between species 3 and 5.](image-url)
addressed by acknowledging the possible in vivo modulating roles of the upstream domains of RmcA that are missing in our construct on the Kd for GTP and/or the organization and oligomeric state of the GGDEF-EAL module.

In line with this, we recently found that RmcA is an Arginine sensor [10] via its periplasmic domain, able to decrease c-di-GMP intracellular levels in response to this nutrient.

Moreover, domain composition analysis of RmcA (data not shown) suggests that residues 677–795 constitute a Light, Oxygen or Voltage (LOV) domain, belonging to the PAS superfamily, upstream the GGDEF-EAL dual structure. Interestingly, LOV domains are known to make use of a flavin cofactor (FMN or FAD) to sense light, redox or voltage modifications, regulating the activity of their adjacent domains in response to such changes. In response to a signal, as noted by Key et al. [36], the prompt reorganization of FMN/FAD hydrogen-bonding network leads to a conformation change in an N- and/or C-terminal helix that lies adjacent to the PAS/LOV domain core. It is interesting to note here that, according to this model, if such conformational rearrangement takes place, it could affect also the relative orientations of the GGDEF domains downstream the helix of the RmcA LOV domain.

Therefore, modifications of the redox state of FAD in the LOV pocket, rather than or in addition to GTP binding to the A site of the GGDEF domains, could lead to the release of the autoinhibitory interactions of RmcA, allowing the GGDEF and EAL domains to rearrange into a canonical dimer capable of hydrolysing the incoming c-di-GMP substrate, as observed in MorA [4]. In agreement with this view, in PA14 strain, the homologous RmcA protein contains FAD in the cytoplasmic portion and responds to phenazines [12].

The multilevel control of c-di-GMP levels has been acknowledged very recently by the O’Toole group [37]; in this study, the authors clearly demonstrate that nutrients are the driving force of the global c-di-GMP-related metabolism and the sensing mechanism mainly involves allostery rather than other regulatory mechanisms.

We propose that detection of Arginine by the periplasmic sensor domain of RmcA leads to a conformational rearrangement of the bundle of TM helices and of the PAS/LOV helices. This observation would lead to the interesting hypothesis that RmcA and its homologous proteins function as ‘rheostats’, by integrating Arginine signals from their periplasmic sensor domains and the general redox state of cell through its LOV domain, with all these signals leading to a contextually optimal PDE activity, mediated by GTP. To shed light on such complex scenario, future studies on RmcA will be required to fully understand the molecular mechanism transducing nutrient sensing into the activation of the GGDEF-EAL effector domains via the PAS/LOV domains, and the eventual involvement of redox components, as recently suggested [12]. It would be exciting to mechanistically demonstrate that RmcA is able to function as a multilevel antenna receptor, which monitors the environment (i.e. nutrient) and the intracellular status (i.e. redox power via flavin/phenazines and general metabolism via nucleotides): this multilayer control allows the bacterium to modulate c-di-GMP to finally direct the cell fate.

Materials and methods

Protein expression and purification

The different constructs of RmcA were obtained by PCR of a synthetic construct of RmcA (GeneArt) encoding for the last 554 amino acid residues and subcloned as N-terminal His-tag constructs in Pet28b (see Fig. 1A for details). Site-directed mutants were obtained using the Quikchange Lightning kit (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA). All proteins were overexpressed in the Escherichia coli BL21 (DE3) strain. Bacterial cultures were grown at 37 °C in Luria-Bertani (LB) liquid medium supplemented with 30 μg/mL 1 Kanamycin (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) until OD600 ~ 0.8, and then at 20°C for 20 h after addition of 0.5 mM IPTG (isopropyl β-D-thiogalactoside; Sigma). Cells were harvested by centrifugation and bacterial pellets were suspended in lysis buffer (250 mM NaCl, 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8, 1 mM PMSF and 1 complete protease inhibitor; Roche, Basel, Switzerland) and lysed by sonication on ice. Cell lysate was centrifuged 30’ at 11 800 g and supernatant purified by IMAC using a Ni2+-HisTrap column (GE Healthcare, Chicago, IL, USA) in buffer A (20 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.6, 150 mM NaCl). Elution was carried out increasing the imidazole concentration, with the protein eluting at 200 mM imidazole. Fractions containing pure protein were pooled and imidazole was removed with PD-10 desalting columns (GE Healthcare). For crystalllography, His-tag was removed with Thrombin (Sigma) (5 U·mg⁻¹ of target protein), at RT overnight; His-tags were removed by a second HisTrap column, were protein was recovered in the 20 mM eluate. In both cases (with or without His-tag) all the proteins were loaded on an FPLC column (Superdex 200 26/600; GE Healthcare) and eluted with buffer A.

X-ray crystallography

Crystals of DUAL without his-tag were grown at 21 °C by hanging drop vapour diffusion method mixing equal
volumes (1.0 µL) of protein solution – 3 mg·mL⁻¹ (60 µM) in 150 mM NaCl, 20 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.6, 2.5 mM CaCl₂ and 320 µM GTP (GE Healthcare) – and reservoir – 0.1 M KCl, 10 mM CaCl₂, 50 mM sodium cacodylate pH 6.0, 1–2% w/v PEG 3350. Crystals were cryo-protected by soaking in the mother liquor containing 20% v/v glycerol before flash freezing in liquid nitrogen. Diffraction data have been collected on BL14.3 operated by the Joint Berlin MX Laboratory at the BESSY II electron storage ring (Berlin-Adlershof, Germany) [38]. Data were processed using XDS [39] and scaled using Pointless and Aimless in the CCP4 suite [40]. Initial phases were obtained by molecular replacement with Phaser [41] using the GGDEF domain of YfiN (PDB id: 4IOB) [42] and the monomeric EAL domain from MorA (PDB id: 4RNI) [4] as search models. Model building and refinement was carried out with Coot [43] and Refmac5 [44] using ProSMART to generate secondary building and refinement was carried out with Coot [43] and Refmac5 [44] using ProSMART to generate secondary construction, using PYMOD 2.0 [49,50]. To this end, the GGDEF, hinge helix and EAL domains of the crystal structure of DUAL were initially splitted, and superposed to MorA (RMSD < 1.0 Å). Then, the sequence of RmcA was aligned to MorA, using the programs Muscle [51], PhD server [52] and SCR find [53]. Afterwards, the Modeller package [54] was used to impose hard spatial restraints to keep the structures of the single GGDEF and EAL domains of DUAL, while modelling the ON state according to the domains orientation of MorA. PYMOD 2.0 was used for the manipulation of alignments and to merge the predicted models in a multidomain model. Finally, protein symmetric dimeric models were constructed using the Modeller package [54], and analysed with Dope Score and Verify_3d [55] to monitor their stereochemical quality.

To further investigate the closed state of DUAL, an anisotropic network model was used for Normal Mode (NM) analysis of the monomer-A of DUAL. This approach is usually useful to probe large-amplitude motions, which are often inaccessible to other atomistic

Table 2. Data collection and structure refinement statistics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RmcA_GGDEF-EAL (DUAL)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Data collection</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Space group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cell dimensions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resolution (Å)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC(1/2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean I/I₀</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completeness (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Redundancy (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molecules/AU (% solvent)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Refinement</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resolution (Å)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. reflections</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R_work/R_free</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N. atoms/mean B-factors (Å²)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GTP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca²⁺</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R.m.s. deviations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bond lengths (Å)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bond angles (°)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ramachandran (W%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Favoured</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allowed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disallowed</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

aValues in parentheses are for highest-resolution shell. bPercentage of correlation between intensities from random half-data sets.

**Kinetic assays**

All the kinetic assays have been done in 20 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 100 mM NaCl and 2.5 mM MnCl₂, using the His-tag containing protein, except for special cases detailed in the figure legends. If indicated, PDE activity was evaluated by reverse-phase high-performance liquid chromatography (RP-HPLC). Briefly, 150 µL of reactions were stopped with EDTA and nucleotide-extracted as described in Ref. [46] at selected times. The reactions products were separated as previously described [13]. Data are the mean of at least two experiments ± SD. Protein and substrate concentrations are detailed in the corresponding figure legends.

Real-time kinetics of PDE activity were assayed by circular dichroism (CD), as previously published [47]. Briefly, protein solution (1 µM) was incubated 10⁵ at 25 °C; if indicated, the mixture was further incubated 5° at 25 °C with GTP prior the addition of c-di-GMP; the reaction was carried out in 1-cm path quartz cuvette in 800 µL of final volume and followed for 10 min. C-di-GMP degradation was monitored following the CD signal at 282 nm, using a JASCO J-710 spectropolarimeter at 25 °C. The values are the means of data from at least three independent experiments, and the errors are ± SD. The c-di-GMP content was extrapolated by using the calibration curve of c-di-GMP previously obtained. These data were used for the Michaelis– Menten plot.

**Homology Modelling and NM analysis**

The program PHYRE2 [48] was used to detect structural homologues of RmcA (GI: 686131882). The best hit, i.e., MorA (PDB id: 4RNI) [4] was chosen for ON model construction, using PYMOD 2.0 [49,50]. To this end, the GGDEF, hinge helix and EAL domains of the crystal structure of DUAL were initially splitted, and superposed to MorA (RMSD < 1.0 Å). Then, the sequence of RmcA was aligned to MorA, using the programs Muscle [51], PhD server [52] and SCR find [53]. Afterwards, the Modeller package [54] was used to impose hard spatial restraints to keep the structures of the single GGDEF and EAL domains of DUAL, while modelling the ON state according to the domains orientation of MorA. PYMOD 2.0 was used for the manipulation of alignments and to merge the predicted models in a multidomain model. Finally, protein symmetric dimeric models were constructed using the Modeller package [54], and analysed with Dope Score and Verify_3d [55] to monitor their stereochemical quality.

To further investigate the closed state of DUAL, an anisotropic network model was used for Normal Mode (NM) analysis of the monomer-A of DUAL. This approach is usually useful to probe large-amplitude motions, which are often inaccessible to other atomistic
simulations. NM analysis is insensitive to the presence of small molecules, such as GTP, in the context of large-protein systems. Each residue was represented by one bead in its α-Carbon position. These beads were then connected by elastic springs if the distance between two beads fall under a 10 Å cut-off value. The elastic network model was built with PyANM [56] and rendered with PyMOL (Version 2.0 Schrödinger, LLC, Cambridge, MA, USA). The harmonic fluctuations of the first non-trivial NM were then used to further analysis.

Data fit of PDE activity

Since the PDE activity with 50 μM GTP is comparable to that observed in the presence of 80 μM GTP used for the pilot assay, the optimization and the determination of kinetic parameters were carried out in the presence of 50 μM GTP. For Michaelis–Menten plot of DUAL the canonical equation was used, while for E890A fit of kinetic data were carried out with the substrate inhibition equation, using a simple model where binding of a second molecule of substrate to the Michaelis–Menten complex yields a dead-end state:

\[
V_0 = \frac{V_{\text{max}}}{1 + \frac{[S]}{K_m}}
\]

PDE initial rates in the presence of 30 μM c-di-GMP as a function of GTP were fitted with the binding equilibrium equation:

\[
fV_{\text{max}} = \frac{1 + [\text{GTP}] + K_D - \sqrt{(1 + [\text{GTP}] + K_D)^2 - 4 * [\text{GTP}]}}{2}
\]

Binding of fluorescent nucleotide analogues

Titration of RncA constructs with MANT-GTP and MANT-c-di-GMP (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA) was assayed on a Fluoromax single photon counting spectrofluorometer (Horiba JobinYvon). Briefly, protein tryptophans were excited at 280 nm and the fluorescence emission spectra were recorded between 400 and 550 nm, as a result of Förster resonance energy transfer (FRET) to MANT-GTP or MANT-c-di-GMP (in 1 cm light path; Hellma GmbH & Co. KG, Mullheim, Germany), after 3 min of incubation with the fluorophore. The binding of the phorophores with each proteins was tested in 20 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.6, 150 mM NaCl and 2.5 mM CaCl2 at 25 °C in a final volume of 500 μL. FRET experiments were performed titrating 2 μM of each proteins with MANT-GTP or MANT-c-di-GMP at different concentrations. The binding curve obtained is typical of a titration plot, where the total ligand added reported in the abscissa axis is approximate to the bound ligand; this implies that the K_D for the binding event should be lower than the concentration of the protein assayed (we assayed as lowest [DUAL] 0.1 μM, based on the sensitivity of the technique under these experimental conditions). On the other hand, in case of a dissociation equilibrium, the saturation profile is independent on protein concentration if the latter is ≤ K_D and the free ligand value is reported in the abscissa being approximate to the total ligand. The profile reported in figure allowed us to estimate K_D,MANT-GTP ≤ 1 μM.

MANT-GTP displacement

The displacement of MANT-GTP was carried out following the decrease in fluorescence of the MANT-GTP/DUAL complex (5 and 1 μM, respectively) in 20 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.6, 150 mM NaCl and 2.5 mM MnCl2 upon the addition of different amounts GTP, GTPζS, GTPζβS.

The maximum of the emission spectrum was used as fluorescence signal for displacement plot, once the value was base-line corrected. For displacement experiments, data were fitted with the following displacement equation [57]:

\[
f_x = f_{\text{bott}} + \frac{(f_0 - f_{\text{bott}})}{1 + 10^{(log x - log K_{\text{app}})}}
\]

being x the concentration of each GTP species used from displacement experiments, f_x the fluorescence signal at each GTP addition, f_0 the fluorescence of the MANT-GTP/DUAL complex when no competitor is present, f_{bott} the fluorescence of 5 μM MANT-GTP in the presence of the maximal concentration of GTP species used for each displacement; data fit was corrected for the slope of the free MANT-GTP signal. K_{app} is the displacement constant, corresponding to the concentration of competitor required to obtain 50% of displacement. K_{app} depends on K_D,GTP, K_D,MANTGTP and [MANT-GTP] used in the assay according to the following equation:

\[
K_{\text{app}} = \frac{K_D,GTP * (1 + [\text{MANT} - \text{GTP}] / K_D,MANTGTP)}{K_{\text{displ}}}
\]

K_{displ} reported in the figure legends represents the mean value of two independent experiments ± SD.

Isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC) assays

Isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC) experiments were carried out using an iTC200 microcalorimeter (MicroCal). Binding of c-di-GMP to EAL-2 and to DUAL (the latter with or without 50 μM GTP in the protein and in the ligand solutions) was assayed at 25 °C, by titrating 35 μM or 25 μM protein with 195 μM or 390 μM c-di-GMP, respectively. 1.2 μL aliquots of c-di-GMP solution (in the same buffer of protein solution) were injected into the protein solution with a time interval between injections of 200 s.
ITC data on EAL-2 were analysed by integrating the heat exchange for each addition and normalized for the amount of injected protein. As acknowledged by the literature, the first points of the titration, even though they are baseline-corrected, have not been considered in the fit, being biased by the high endothermic contribution due to c-di-GMP dilution into the cell [58,59].

The heat of binding (H), the stoichiometry (n), and the dissociation constant ($K_d$) were then calculated from plots of the heat evolved per mol of ligand injected versus the molar ratio of ligand to protein using the ORIGIN (OriginLab Corporation) software provided by the vendor (single binding site equation). Data are the mean of at least three experiments ± SD. Titration of DUAL shows a very complicated profile which could be fitted both with the two-binding sites equation or the sequential-binding (i.e., cooperativity) site equation provided by the vendor, yielding a comparable fit residual (but deeply different from a mechanistic point of view). The first process is a mixture of highly endothermic and exothermic events, which cover the events occurring at higher ligand concentration. At this stage we could speculate that the endothermic events (leading to the V-shaped curve) accounts not only for the dilution of c-di-GMP, which is highly endothermic as mentioned above, but also for dramatic rearrangement and desolvation events (as in the case of metal binding to the active site). This behaviour has been observed for other hybrid proteins to a lower extent and the authors did not discern the multitude of events [8,59]. Accordingly, we do not present a data fitting given that the molecular bases of such profile are unknown. Given the complexity of the events, we prefer to consider quantitatively only FRET data.

**Dynamic light-scattering measurements**

Dynamic light-scattering measurements on protein solutions were carried out with a Zetasizer Nano S (Malvern Instruments, Malvern, UK) equipped with a 4 mW He–Ne laser (633 nm). Measurements were performed at 25 °C at an angle of 173° from the incident beam with a protein concentration of 4 μM in PDE buffer in the presence of MnCl$_2$ 2.5 mM. Peak-intensity analyses were used to determine hydrodynamic radius number distribution (Pn) in the presence and in the absence of GTP at a final concentration of 50 μM. Both conditions have been tested twice, and each measurement is the result of the average of 24 consecutive measurements.

**Determinant of the aggregation state of DUAL by SEC**

Hundred microlitre of a protein solution containing DUAL (40 μM) were injected into a TSKgel G3000PWxl HPLC column (Tosoh Bioscience, San Francisco, CA, USA) equilibrated with 150 mM NaCl, 20 mM Tris/ HCl pH 7.0, 2.5 mM MgCl$_2$, 2.5 mM MnCl$_2$, ± 300 μM GTP (GE Healthcare) and mounted on a HPLC dual pump system Azura ASM2.1L (Knauer, Berlin, Germany). The column was calibrated by running in the same buffers the following standards (GE Healthcare): Ferritin (440 kDa), Tyroglobin (669 kDa), Aldolase (158 kDa), Conalbumin (75 kDa). The aggregation state is dimeric for all the constructs and has been verified by AUC (not shown). Protein sample with GTP was run after 10 min of incubation with this nucleotide.

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical differences were determined by one-way analysis of variance followed by Student’s t-test with the Bonferroni correction. $P \leq 0.05$ was considered significant.
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**Table S1.** Optimization of PDE activity of DUAL in the presence of excess GTP.

**Table S2.** Comparison of the catalytic properties of the PDE activity of RmcA with other EAL-containing proteins characterized so far.

**Movie S1.** Anisotropic Network Model used during NM analysis.

**Movie S2.** Closing of the DUAL monomer-A during NM analysis.